

Propaganda and Disinformation in Russia: Natalia Zubkova's Two Realities



Author's Note



Natalia Zubkova is a journalist, author and direct participant in the events.

For several years I tried to figure out where to start this story.

With the threat to burn me publicly outside the city administration? With my departure from Kiselevsk on 27 February 2021? With the search of Roma Kupriyanov's home? With the publications in which I was called crazy, an alcoholic, a swindler and a woman who allegedly stalks men? Or with the evening when I realised that even at home I could no longer hide from the versions of my life written by other people?

Now I think I need to begin earlier — at a time when this story was not yet a story about me.

I was a local journalist. People came to me. They brought court decisions, responses from the prosecutor's office, old police decisions declining to proceed, photographs of cracks in the house, receipts, complaints and correspondence. Sometimes they came almost without documents - only with a story that no one was willing to hear to the end. I went to the scene, spoke with other participants, called institutions, filed requests and published what I was able to establish.

I was not right about everything. I could have misjudged the person, chosen legally inappropriate wording, believed the source more than I should have, or drawn a conclusion before I had received all the documents. I lost one civil case. Some of my hypotheses remain hypotheses. Some records were lost by others, some materials I was unable to preserve myself, and some conversations now exist only in my memory.

Part of my journalistic archive once existed publicly, but was later lost after platforms and accounts were blocked or disappeared. Among the lost items were old publications, videos and materials that I posted as evidence of what happened, including fragments with threats. I believe that my YouTube channel was lost to complaints about aggressive content after the publication of such materials, but I did not preserve the platform's notifications, and I do not present this reason as documented. The absence of material in the 2026 archive does not mean that the material did not exist publicly then.

This text was not written to turn me into an infallible hero.

I am writing this because another public biography gradually emerged alongside my life. In it, people did not turn to me with their problems - it was I who supposedly dragged them into conflicts. I was not reporting from coal tips and open-pit mines; I was supposedly "promoting myself at rubbish dumps". I helped an elderly woman not because she had been seeking for years to check the history of her deceased nephew's flat, but because she seemed to be pursuing the man. I called institutions not as a journalist, but as a "telephone terrorist." I was afraid of threats not because someone had written to me about a public execution, but because I supposedly invented everything myself for the sake of attention. A real flight became proof of an alleged staged performance. A genuine document was given a meaning it did not contain.

This second narrative was repeated. It accumulated new texts, photographs, comments, official papers and fragments of private life. Old accusations were carried into new stories. My family became evidence against me. The reactions of people close to me came back to me as another confirmation that the public narrative was supposedly true.

I lived inside this discrepancy for a long time.

In one reality, I knew where I went, who I talked to, what frightened me and why I continued to work. In another, all this was already explained for me: mental disorder, alcohol, greed, sexual motives, foreign money or the desire to become famous.

I do not ask the reader to take my word for it. Precisely because there were two versions of my life, I want to put side by side what has been preserved: my memories, publications, documents, photographs, messages, recordings of conversations, responses from state institutions and traces of the transfer of materials between sites.

Documents do not replace my memory of my own life. My memory does not turn an assumption into an independently established fact. These two types of knowledge should not destroy each other.

Where I remember, I say: "I remember." Where I was sure then, I do not rewrite the past as if doubts did not exist. Where a document surfaced later, I show exactly what it added. Where there is still no answer, I do not invent one.

It is important for me to compare two realities: the life I lived and the public biography that others created about me.

After several years of this kind of pressure, a person is no longer defending one publication or one fact. They are defending the right to say: this was my life, and I remember what happened to me.

Two realities

How my life became someone else's version of my life.

1. Before the story became a story about me

On 13 December 2017, my local news outlet, Kiselevsk News, was registered.

I didn't start out in big politics. One of the first stories I later told other journalists concerned a group of teenagers who asked for help securing a sports ground. They knew what they wanted, but they did not know how to make adults and institutions listen to a simple request.

That's how people started coming to me.

Kiselevsk is a city where a public problem is rarely distant and abstract. If a building has no heating, you see walls covered in frost. If a coal seam is burning nearby, the smell enters the flat. If a school building is cracking, children still continue to study inside it. If someone loses their flat, they may turn out to be your neighbour, an acquaintance of acquaintances, or someone you have already met in another story.

I wrote about housing, heating, transport, maternity hospitals, labour rights, people with disabilities, the state of schools and urban ecology. I went to coal dumps and open-pit mines, photographed dust, underground fires and the proximity of industrial sites to residential buildings. I talked to people who believed that their appeals had been going around in circles between institutions for years.

Sometimes the results appeared quickly. More often than not, a story stretched over months and years. A refusal led to another complaint; a decision was overturned; a file was returned for further review; and the person brought me yet another official response.

I read these answers to the end. This is probably why they kept coming to me.

Atamanov family

One of the first difficult housing stories for me was the Atamanov family.

The brothers were not orphans. They had a mother - Svetlana Atamanova. The family was vulnerable for another reason. Svetlana had difficulty navigating complex property decisions, assessing consequences, and protecting the interests of her family. I remember her being extremely trusting. She easily believed what she was told and was easily influenced by others. When I compared the degree of this gullibility with the behaviour of a small child, I did not diagnose it or assess its intelligence. I tried to explain how easy it was to guide her to a decision that she might not fully understand.

I was not the only one who later described this vulnerability. In a Takiye Dela article dated 23 April 2019, people directly involved in the story spoke about her, including one of the brothers and Olga Khlopina.

The family's flat went to another owner. My work on the story went far beyond a single call or publication: I examined the circumstances of the transaction, spoke with the participants and sought responses from the guardianship and law-enforcement authorities. As the publication "Takiye Dela" later wrote, a criminal case was opened in December 2018, in January 2019 the guardianship authorities demanded that the deal be cancelled, and by the end of December 2019 the flat was returned to the family.

I don't want to take credit for the entire result today. There were the brothers themselves, people who helped them, guardianship authorities, lawyers and public attention. But for me this story became important: I saw how easily a complex paper and someone else's confidence can change the life of a family that does not know how to defend itself with the same language.

Olga Khlopina, Marina Kharitonova and Anton Reutov

After this story, Olga Khlopina, a woman with a Group II disability, came to me. Marina Kharitonova appeared again in her story. Lawyer Anton Reutov also appeared.

I wrote an article titled "Not All Lawyers Are the Same." Reutov sued me for defamation. I lost the civil case and paid the amount awarded by the court.

This is part of my history, and I'm not going to hide it for the sake of a convenient image. I wrote what I then considered to be my conclusion from the collected stories. Today I admit that some of my wording may have been legally ill-advised and that I did not always distinguish clearly enough between an assessment and a statement of fact. The case I lost must remain part of this account.

But it does not erase Khlopina's original story and does not turn all subsequent appeals about Reutov into a continuation of my personal dispute. It was this distinction that later almost disappeared from the public narrative about me.

Valentina Loginova


When Reutov was already suing me, Valentina Loginova came to me.

Valentina's statement indicated that her nephew Oleg Leonidovich Kravchenko died on 2 June 2015. She described his vulnerability, his flat, his money and what she had learned after his death. Reutov appeared in her story again.

I understood how inconvenient it looked from the outside: a man was already pursuing a civil case against me, and I was starting to deal with a new story in which he also appears. But an elderly woman came to me with many years of requests and questions that she believed had not been answered. I couldn't tell her: "I can't do this now because he's already suing me."

Surviving documents show that her story was not a fabricated private dispute. According to Loginova's statements, refusals were issued on 23 September 2015, 29 September 2015 and 23 December 2016. In 2018, the prosecutor's office reported that the files from those inquiries were lost by the Ministry of Internal Affairs, required that they be reconstructed and a new decision issued. In April 2020, another refusal was cancelled and the file was returned for further inquiry. On 6 July 2020, a criminal case was initiated under Part 3 of Article 159 of the Criminal Code. Reutov challenged the decision to open the case; in October, the court dismissed his complaint. There is no final verdict or other final decision in our archives.

E01 · Document on the appeal of V. I. Loginova



Complete unaltered source file.

E03 · Response to request No. 9151



МВД России

ГЛАВНОЕ УПРАВЛЕНИЕ
МИНИСТЕРСТВА ВНУТРЕННИХ ДЕЛ
РОССИЙСКОЙ ФЕДЕРАЦИИ
по КЕМЕРОВСКОЙ ОБЛАСТИ
(ГУ МВД России по Кемеровской области)

ул. Н.Островского, 17, Кемерово, 650000

от 06.05.2020 № 3/207706069151

О рассмотрении обращения

Логиновой В.И.

frau.zubkova-bakulina@mail.ru

Уважаемая Валентина Ивановна!

Главным следственным управлением рассмотрено Ваше обращение, поступившее 10.04.2020.

В настоящее время доследственная проверка по Вашему заявлению не окончена, проводятся следственные и процессуальные мероприятия, направленные на принятие законного и обоснованного решения.

В ходе рассмотрения Вашего обращения факт утраты данного материала своего подтверждения не нашел.

Процессуальные решения и действия должностных лиц Вы вправе обжаловать в порядке, предусмотренном Главой 16 Уголовно-процессуального кодекса Российской Федерации.

Начальник отдела
Главного следственного управления

Ю.С. Халяпина

Председателю Кемеровского областного суда
650000, г. Кемерово, пр. Химиков, 9

Возражение на апелляционную жалобу Реутова А.И.

16.10.2020 судьей Центрального районного суда г. Кемерово вынесено постановление об оставлении без удовлетворения жалобы Реутова А.И., заявленной в порядке ст. 125 УПК РФ, о признании незаконным постановления следователя о возбуждении уголовного дела от 06.07.2020 по признакам преступления, предусмотренного ч. 3 ст. 159 УК РФ.

От обвиняемого Реутова А.И. поступила частная жалоба на вышеуказанное постановление судьи, в обоснование своей позиции обвиняемый ссылается на ст. 90 УПК РФ и вступившее в законную силу решение Киселевского городского суда по гражданскому делу № 2-32/2016 от 08.08.2016.

Согласно Определения Конституционного Суда РФ от 13 октября 2009 г. N 1316-О-О, статья 90 УПК РФ указывает на преюдициальное значение лишь таких не вызывающих сомнения фактических обстоятельств, которые ранее были предметом доказывания по уголовному делу и подтверждены вступившим в законную силу приговором, в связи с чем они признаются установленными и не нуждающимися в дополнительной проверке, т.е. данная статья рассматривает вопрос о преюдициальном значении только одного судебного акта - приговора по уголовному делу и не касается возможности признания в уголовном процессе имеющих юридическое значение фактов, установленных судом в порядке гражданского или арбитражного судопроизводства. Согласно прямому указанию законодателя, содержащемуся в статье 90 УПК РФ, дознаватель, следователь, прокурор и суд освобождаются от обязанности исследовать те обстоятельства уголовного дела, которые уже были установлены ранее в ходе уголовного судопроизводства по другому делу и подтверждены вынесенным приговором. Во всех остальных случаях выводы об обстоятельствах дела, содержащиеся в иных судебных решениях, в ходе уголовного судопроизводства подлежат исследованию и оценке в соответствии с общими процедурами доказывания.

Кроме того, согласно Определения Конституционного Суда РФ от 19 декабря 2019 г. N 3305-О статья 90 УПК РФ предусматривает, что обстоятельства, установленные вступившим в законную силу приговором, за исключением приговора, постановленного судом в соответствии со статьей 226, 316 или 317 УПК РФ, либо иным вступившим в законную силу решением суда, принятым в рамках гражданского, арбитражного или административного судопроизводства, признаются судом, прокурором, следователем, дознавателем

конституционного принципа презумпции невиновности и связанных с этим особенностей доказывания в уголовном процессе, игнорировать вытекающие из преюдиции обоснованные сомнения в виновности лица (если решение по гражданскому делу говорит в пользу его невиновности).

Отказ дознавателя, следователя или прокурора, осуществляющих уголовное судопроизводство, от признания действия преюдициальности как свойства законной силы судебного решения, принятого в порядке гражданского судопроизводства, означал бы также, по смыслу правовой позиции, изложенной в Постановлении Конституционного Суда Российской Федерации № 5-П от 17.03.2009, преодоление вступивших в законную силу судебных решений административными органами, что не соответствует самой природе правосудия, которое осуществляется только судом, и конституционным принципам самостоятельности судебной власти и независимости суда.


На основании изложенного прошу суд:

1. Постановление судьи центрального районного суда города Кемерово Кемеровской области Торощина Д.В. по делу №3/12-232/2020 о признании незаконным постановления следователя о возбуждении уголовного дела от 06.07.2020 по признакам преступления, предусмотренного ч.3 ст. 159 УК РФ, вынесенное 16.10.2020 отменить. Жалобу Реутова А.И. в порядке ст. 125 УПК РФ удовлетворить.

Приложение: копия решения Киселевского городского суда по гражданскому делу №2-32/2016

Дата 22.10.2020

подпись _____



A package of banking records has now been added to this story. It shows that after transactions with the accounts of the deceased Kravchenko, the bank conducted an internal audit, calculated a financial loss of 115,005 rubles 16 kopecks and later filed a claim against a young employee. After this story, according to her own statement, she had to resign from Sberbank. In her explanations and statement to the police, she linked the issue and transfer of the card to instructions from managers and from Reutov. I do not name the employee or publish her personal information. Her account remains participant testimony, not a verdict against Reutov.

The point is not for the reader to construct a new criminal case. It is to see the underlying reality: an elderly woman spent years seeking an inquiry into the history of the flat and money of her deceased nephew; materials were lost, decisions were cancelled, the audit was resumed, there were statements from participants and a documented banking episode. This was a serious factual story, not a stage set I had invented out of personal revenge.

I helped Loginova read documents, helped pursue appeals, published materials and staged a solo picket. On 5 March 2020, this picket was covered by Sibir.Realii.

Roman K.

Roman K. belonged to roughly the same strand of housing cases. He lived in the neighbouring building.

I remember that even before his disappearance, young men used to visit him, and their behaviour and company seemed strange to me. Later, Roman said that they brought him drugs and alcohol, and that after using them he sometimes did not understand what was happening and would sign documents. I do not present his account as a documented mechanism for taking possession of the flat.

Roman disappeared from the city. Neighbours thought he might have died because of drugs. In fact, he was alive. According to him, he left because he began to fear for his life. For about three years he stayed with a religious community, where people with addictions were helped to stop using drugs and receive rehabilitation and support.

When he returned, the flat had already been registered in the name of another person. Roman came to me and asked whether there was any chance of getting it back. I honestly said that I regarded the circle of people around these stories as dangerous and difficult to understand. He answered something like this: "I'm not afraid of them any more. I remember every one of them and what each of them did to me."

The main legal work was carried out by a good lawyer associated with Roman's religious community. The flat was recovered. My participation does not need to be turned into a feat, much less into a statement that I myself won the trial. What matters to me is something else: this was another vulnerable person who returned to the city and discovered that his home already belonged to someone else.

According to my recollection, the new owner was a close relative of Marina Kharitonova. No document establishing the transfer of title or the degree of kinship is currently available. I preserve this as my testimony and not as evidence of a common criminal group.

By that time, I had a working hypothesis: perhaps what I was seeing was not a random series of private disputes, but a recurring method of dealing with the flats of people who find it difficult to protect themselves. These were elderly people, people with disabilities, people with addictions, families who had difficulty understanding paperwork. I tried to understand whether the participants and roles were repeated.

This hypothesis never became proof that one organised group existed with a particular membership. Years later, the investigation and the court actually established other organised housing schemes in Kiselevsk with officials, municipal structures and realtors. Public materials do not allow us to say whether that composition coincided

This is what my work looked like before separate cases began to be assembled into a story about me.

On 11 November 2019, the material “Voice of the People” appeared on the “Bez Tsenzury” website.

Full publication: Archive A-01.

It was formatted as a letter from a reader, signed with the name “Sergei Krylov.” It discussed my journalistic and environmental activities, contacts with a foreign journalist, family and my husband’s work. The source of the letter has not been independently identified. For me, something else is more important: different cases and different people began to be linked not through the public issue involved, but through my personality.

Before this, I could argue about a specific text: whether I told Khlopina’s story correctly, whether I checked the document enough, whether I correctly assessed the actions of the official. Now a ready-made answer to every subsequent story was gradually taking shape. If I asked a question, I was declared the reason for the question.

This became especially clear in December.

Olga Tuzovskaya and the maternity hospital

On 12 December 2019, I corresponded with Olga Tuzovskaya. She was worried about the fate of the Kiselevsk maternity hospital and talked about her friend who gave birth there and was satisfied. Olga gave me her phone number and we called each other. I suggested that Olga come with her friend so that I could record an interview.

I did not offer her to organize a rally. My idea was the usual journalistic format: to meet and record women who have something to say about the maternity hospital.


As I recall, Olga herself published an invitation to other women to come and speak out. The meeting took place on a square in the same area, but not in the maternity hospital building and not directly at its entrance. About ten people came. It was an opportunity for me to write down opinions.

What happened next received a legal assessment as an unauthorized public event, and Olga faced administrative consequences. We do not currently have a complete set of administrative cases, so I am not rewriting the court decision in my own words. But I keep the original sequence that I remember and for which there is correspondence: Olga raised the topic, talked about her friend, I offered an interview, Olga invited other women, people came.

On 16 December, “Bez Tsensury” published the text “A Kiselevsk Rally: PR or Disgrace?” On 30 January 2020, a continuation was published stating that Kuzbass oppositionists were allegedly exposing citizens to articles and fines.

BEZ TSENZURY / WORDPRESS · 16 DECEMBER 2019

A Kiselevsk Rally: PR or Disgrace?



The journalist's proposal to record the interview was reframed as a story about PR and organising an unauthorised public event; who acted, why they acted, and what caused what were all recast.

[Full publication: Archive A-02.](#)

In the public narrative, my role changed. The journalist who offered to record the interview became the organiser or the person who incited Olga to attend an unauthorised gathering. The administrative punishment of another person was presented as proof of my irresponsibility.

The roles in the story were rearranged. Olga's own initiative, her concern for the maternity hospital, the story about her friend and the invitation of other women were put aside. At the centre was the version that I was using people and leaving them to bear the consequences.

This was one of the first times when I saw the future mechanism almost entirely. The real event was not necessarily denied. It could be preserved, but who acted, why they acted and what caused what could all be recast.

That same December, I received a collective appeal from employees of the Kiselev MFC. Under it were the signatures of twenty-four people. They wrote about bonuses and asked to check financial decisions and compliance with labour rights during the liquidation of the institution. For me, this was another ordinary journalistic job: people within the organisation were addressing not an abstract rumour, but specific issues and a collective document.

I do not publish their personal data and do not turn each point of appeal into a separate investigation. What is important here is the original scale: behind my publication were twenty-four people and a problem that they considered common. Later, even such stories dissolved into a ready-made explanation, as if any intervention on my part was caused by personal hostility, self-interest or a desire to cause a scandal.

3. March - April 2020: different stories are turned into a single character

By the beginning of 2020, I continued to do different things. On 5 March, I staged a solo picket, trying to draw attention to the stories of older people, including Valentina Loginova. At the same time, I worked with environmental problems, communicated with residents of Cheremza, went to coal sites, called institutions and prepared materials about school No. 24.


On the website, these different strands were increasingly given a single explanation.

On 16 March, a text was published saying that “all means are good” for me in environmental protection. I was accused of recruiting activists, working to external orders and taking foreign money. The publication did not show any document confirming the financial charges.

On 23 April, the headline “Where the camp goes in the spring or the telephone terrorist” appeared. Journalistic calls to institutions were turned into a character trait. Readers were not given recordings that would allow them to verify the number, content or tone of all the calls attributed to me.

BEZ TSENZURY / WORDPRESS · 23 APRIL 2020

Where does the camp go in the spring or the telephone terrorist



Куда уходит табор по весне или телефонная террористка

23.04.2020 / BEZCENZURY

Весна действует на всех по-разному. У психически здоровых людей с появлением солнца улучшается настроение, а у не совсем здоровых – растет агрессия и случается обострение. Яркий пример – киселевская экология Наталья Зубкова

На протяжении последних нескольких недель журналистка электронной газетки с пафосным названием «Киселевск News» (закос под американское СМИ) занимается тем, что парализует деятельность сотрудников органов власти, надзорных органов и госучреждений. Зубкова звонит на все доступные ей телефоны и предъявляет претензии, суть которых неуловима из-за тональности, в которой экология пытается довести информацию. Визг недорезанного поросенка, доносящийся из телефонной трубки, не стихает даже по ночам. Наталья до утра звонит сотрудникам, которые при подготовке ответа на ее многочисленные жалобы имели неосторожность указать свой сотовый телефон. Несколько сотрудниц МФЦ, замученные натиском Зубковой, находятся сейчас на грани увольнения. Муниципалитеты, силовые и правоохранительные структуры, угледобывающие предприятия – вот далеко не полный перечень организаций, ставших объектами атаки неуравновешенной журналистки. За сутки Зубкова успевает обзвонить не только Киселевск и область, но и до приемной президента добратся. Не остаются забытыми и генеральная прокуратура, и МВД. Словом, стонет не только Кузбасс. Наталья звонит и, невзирая на чины и звания, шантажирует и угрожает.

Парадокс в том, что Зубкова, демонстрируя такую наглость, стесняется своей принадлежности к цыганской национальности и готова засудить любого, кто рискнет на это указать. Но шипо-то в мешке не утаишь. Навязчивость, и

Поиск...

ПОИСК

ПОДПИСАТЬСЯ НА НАС


☐ комментарий ☐ Перепост ☐ Подписаться ...

The school story and my journalistic work were reduced in the headline to a personal label: "telephone terrorist." The social problem receded before the label.

[Full publication: Archive A-07.](#)

4. School No. 24: there were about four hundred children there

The history of school No. 24 did not begin with an emergency act or with a ready-made engineering report. It began with an appeal from parents.

In the spring of 2020, the father of one of the students told me about raising money for light bulbs. The child's mother recorded a conversation with the director. But when I started studying at school, light bulbs very quickly ceased to be the main issue.

I saw cracks in the building and foundation. I saw metal ties and braces on the facade. I saw workers periodically carrying out work on these metal elements. The photographs preserve the external fixtures and condition of the building. Later, Sibir.Realii published my photograph of the school.

My children did not study there. The school was located in another area of the city. I did not pursue the story because of a personal conflict or because I objected to buying light bulbs.

Kiselevsk itself mattered to me. It is a coal-mining town surrounded by open-pit mines where blasting operations were regularly carried out. Around four hundred children attended the school.

I was scared for them.


I was afraid that the already damaged building might not withstand another strong impact, that it would collapse and the children would suffer. I did not have an engineering calculation that would allow me to calculate the level of impact at which the building would lose stability. But I didn't need an official technical report to understand my own motivation: I saw cracks, metal fastenings, the general condition of the building and a city in which rock was constantly being blasted.

On 2 May 2020, I published the material.

Unfortunately, we do not have a final technical document that would list all the reasons why the further operation of the building was considered unacceptable. But surviving publications and photographs show that the problem was real and serious: cracks ran from the roof to the basement, the façade was held together by metal fastenings, and back in 2020, the question of assessing whether the school building was structurally unsafe was raised. For me, the context of Kiselevsk itself was especially scary - a coal-mining town surrounded by open-pit mines where blasting operations were regularly carried out. About four hundred children studied in this building. I was afraid that another strong impact could become critical for the already damaged building and children would suffer.

There were also officially confirmed violations. In the response of Rospotrebnadzor dated 28 February 2020, another applicant was informed that the allegations of sanitary violations at the school had been substantiated, and the perpetrator was brought to administrative responsibility. This document is not a structural-safety report and does not confirm every assessment I made. But it shows that the problem did not exist only in my imagination.

E09 · Rospotrebnadzor's response to school No. 24



Complete source file without cropping, masking or retouching. Evidentiary function: the content of the official response within the text of the document.

Later, even “Bez Tsenzury” wrote that the building was declared unsafe, and the pupils were transferred to other schools. I do not take credit for the closure of the school and do not replace the missing complete set of technical acts with this message. Another thing is important to me: the site that explained the school dispute through my character and supposed condition, in the end, itself admitted a serious problem with the building.

But acknowledging the problem did not return the original meaning to my work.


This is what gradually happened to the original story. The question was simple: is the building where children are present every day safe? The public response increasingly suggested a different question: What was wrong with the woman who kept raising the issue?

5. May 2020: “Rubbish dumps” enter the home

On 7 May and 8, two publications were published in which my environmental work turned into “PR at rubbish dumps” and a way to make money. Alcohol, cigarettes, alleged personal relationships, my husband and three children were brought into the story. The hunger strike due to the environmental conflict was explained not by the subject of the protest, but by self-interest and my private life.

BEZ TSENZURY / WORDPRESS · 8 MAY 2020

Eco-activist Natalia Zubkova threatens hunger strike



Экоактивистка Наталья Зубкова угрожает голодовкой

08.05.2020 / BEZCENZURY

Весеннее обострение гиперактивной жительницы города Киселевска набирает новые обороты

Непринятая обществом гурзу журналистики Наталья Зубкова начала шантажировать правительство. Вчера она пригрозила главе Киселевска Максиму Шкарабейникову организацией массовой головки. Ни для кого не секрет, что таким образом экоактивистка с цыганскими корнями выторговывает отступные.

На такой крайний шаг Зубкова оглы пошла после того, как не добила денег от собственников разрезов. Почти год она бегала по отвалам и помойкам в надежде стать для угольной промышленности костью поперек горла. Ходила везде, где можно было встретить угольщиков.

комментарий · Перепост · Подписаться · ...

The environmental dispute was linked with family, alcohol, and supposed personal motives. So the debate about pollution became a story about my character and lifestyle.

[Full publication: Archive A-09.](#)

The irony of this formula was that I really did go to waste dumps and pollution sites. I really did photograph rubbish, coal dust and industrial landscapes. The real fact made the ridicule more convincing. The reader saw a photograph, or knew that I had visited a dump, and was then offered a broader conclusion: it means that I am “promoting myself in the dumps,” making money and pursuing personal interests.

This is how one of the most persistent substitutions works: you don’t need to invent everything. It is enough to take a genuine detail and change its meaning.

On 14 May, another publication discussed my journalistic identity, motherhood and alcohol. Three days later, if the embedded date of the preserved audio recording is correct, a conversation took place at home, which I recorded.

My husband said that I wouldn’t be able to work, asked what kind of journalist I was, reproached me for “glorifying the whole family,” helping strangers instead of my own, and putting everything on social media. The conversation referred to public discussion of my husband, children and the whole family, saying that it was happening “everywhere” and “on social media”. My environmental work was ridiculed. At some point, the child asked: “Dad, don’t shout.”

I cannot trace this conversation phrase by phrase to particular articles. But by May 17, public texts already connected the same topics: my work, money, the environment, alcohol, husband, children and the obligation to “return to the family.” In the conversation itself, the public discussion of our family was explicitly present.

For me, this was the moment when publications ceased to exist only on the screen. They entered my home.


I felt betrayed and unprotected. Home was no longer the place where someone else’s version of my life ended. It came back to me through links, retellings, accusations and the reactions of loved ones.

Later I said that unknown people sent materials to my husband, older children, his colleagues and relatives. The sender is not known for every instance. But the family conversation itself remained. In it you can hear how public-interest work became an accusation against me within the family.

I continued to work. At the end of May, I filmed what was happening in Cheremza; on 22 August, I published a separate article about the environmental conflict. Two civil lawsuits later emerged from the video and article. In one case, the court found one of the eight disputed passages inaccurate and rejected the remaining seven; this decision was upheld by subsequent courts. In the second case, there is no final judicial act in the surviving archive. I am not hiding either the controversial wording or the judicial result. But the subject of my work remained the living conditions of people near coal facilities, and not a “garbage dump” as a decoration for personal PR.

BEZ TSENZURY / WORDPRESS · 26 JUNE 2020

Hype Around Ecology. Who is speculating on Cheremze?



Хайп на экологии. Кто спекулирует на Черемзе?

26.06.2020 / BEZCENZURY

Вторую неделю в поселок Черемза Новокузнецкого района стекаются паломники. Что приводит их на юг Кузбасса: озабоченность проблемами экологии или желание собрать лайки и привлечь внимание подписчиков? Давайте порассуждаем!

Ни для кого не секрет, что Кузбасс – угольный край. В нашем регионе добывается порядка 60% всего российского угля. Функционирует почти 150 шахт, разрезов, обогатительных фабрик. Угледобывающая промышленность кормит Кемеровскую область. Альтернативное производство, к счастью или к сожалению, у нас отсутствует. Трудовая деятельность значительной части населения связана именно с угледобычей. Каждое предприятие – сотни, а то и тысячи вакансий. Вместе с тем, работа шахт и разрезов негативно отражается на состоянии экологии региона.

В былые годы сначала появлялись угледобывающие предприятия, а потом, вокруг них, росли города и районы. Сегодня ситуация координально изменилась. Шахты и разрезы открываются в уже существующих населенных пунктах, зачастую в непосредственной близости от жилых массивов. Так, жители экологически чистых сельских территорий вынуждены потесниться: уступить часть земли промышленности. Естественно, такое соседство людей не радует. Кому понравятся взрывы, угольная пыль, дороги, разбитые большегрузами?

Угольщики в большинстве случаев не идут на диалог с населением. Маленькой шахте или разрезу, доход которых – от 5 до 10 млн. в день, не составит труда переселить людей в экологически чистую зону или выплатить материальную компенсацию за жилье. Но этого не происходит. В результате местные жители

Поиск...

ПОИСК

ПОДПИСАТЬСЯ НА НАС


☐ комментарий
 ☐ Перепост
 ☐ Подписаться
 ...

The environmental story of Cheremza was presented through the frame of “hype”: living conditions near coal facilities gave way to the supposed media benefits of the journalist.

[Full publication: Archive A-13.](#)

6. Loginova: the real proceedings did not change the established image

While the school story was developing, Valentina Loginova continued to seek verification.

In the spring of 2020, another refusal was cancelled. On 6 July, a criminal case was opened. This was not a verdict on Reutov, and I should never have presented the initiation of a case as proven guilt. But the appearance of the criminal case was significant: the previous refusals did not turn out to be final confirmation that there was nothing to check.

Before that, “Bez Tsenzury” presented Reutov as a person whom I slandered, whom I lost to in court and whom I continued to persecute. My work with Loginova was conflated with my civil dispute. Financial, personal and sexual motives were attributed to me.

On 7 September 2020, the site directly wrote about Reutov's "deserved prosecution". It would seem that this should have at least cast doubt on the previous simple scheme: Reutov is an innocent victim of my slander, I am the persecutor.

But the narrative did not collapse. It adapted.

Now the real process was explained by the fact that I was using it for money, attention and media exposure. In July 2021, the site again acknowledged the existence of the case and even reported information about its progress "through its own channels," without showing the document. The centre of the material remained not the flat, not the money of the deceased person and not many years of refusals, but my alleged lies, drinking, mental health and private life.

In October 2021, Reutov appeared in the publication again as part of a story about how I allegedly "attack men." One of the image files accompanying that publication was an exact copy of an image used by the site back in May 2020. The old material looked like new confirmation, although no new source appeared.

This is an important feature of the version created around me. A fact that did not fit the narrative did not necessarily lead to a correction. It was given a new explanation within the established image.

If no case was opened, that was treated as proof that I was lying. If a case was opened, that was treated as proof that I was exploiting it for money and attention. Either outcome could be made to support the same narrative.

I do not have to be right in every conclusion to see this logical trap.

7. August 2020: nine people and a public burning

Even before August, I knew the story of Valery Kazantsev and the network of publications around him. In the surviving corpus, the old text about him was linked with new material about his death. We have not fully restored Kazantsev's independent history, and I do not use it as evidence of the causes of death or someone else's intent. For me it had a different meaning: it was one of the early strong signals that public discrediting of a person could continue after the news of his death.

Therefore, by the beginning of August, I already perceived what was happening to me as potentially dangerous. I saw not just crude texts that could simply be closed and forgotten, but a public image that could outlive the person and become a ready explanation of what happened to him.

On 17 August 2020, I received messages on Odnoklassniki.

They wrote to me that they wanted to publicly set me on fire in the square near the administration. Then the words appeared: "we will burn you here," "public execution," and the statement that nine people were waiting for me.

I read this not as a researcher and not as someone who would be drawing evidentiary distinctions six years later. I read this as a woman living in the same city where the square was located, where I had family and where people already knew about me from numerous publications.


It was not only the death threat that frightened me. Its public nature frightened me. It was not about an attack in a dark place, but about burning near the administration, about execution, about a group of people. It threatened not only to harm me, but to turn it into a spectacle.

The sequence of screenshots has been saved. They show the content of messages and the account interface. The person behind the sending account has not been identified. I do not know whether the nine people existed or if the account had a connection with the editorial office of Bez Tsenzury.

Four days later, on 21 August, the site published material No. 2759, “An Assassination Attempt Is Being Prepared Against Zubkova.”

BEZ TSENZURY / WORDPRESS · 21 AUGUST 2020

An Assassination Attempt Is Being Prepared Against Zubkova



На Зубкову готовится «покушение»

21.08.2020 / BEZ TSENZURY

Киселевская журналистка в панике перебирает **способы** вернуть к себе внимание, дабы отбить свое иностранное **финансирование**. Получается с переменным успехом.

Последняя попытка с Реутовым встретила совсем неоднозначную реакцию у публики. Многие, даже посмотрев видео Зубковой, из которого выдран контекст, всё равно сделали адекватные выводы.

Истерики заявления и провокации для Зубковой – обычное дело. И вот, когда история с Реутовым вышла на финальный уровень, она тут же предпринимает новые попытки навести шума вокруг своей персоны. Здесь ей то ли на руку пошла чья-то шутка, то ли её собственная фантазия принесла такие плоды, но хайп удался. На этот раз скандальную журналистку «хотят казнить на площади».

Comments:

- А вы сами знаете, какое видео смонтировано. На самом деле, ситуация была такая. НЗ задвала Реутова коверные вопросы, тем самым, провоцируя его. И когда поняла, что выдрано видео человека, она его решила по-настоящему было 4 секунды. Этот момент она и выдвинула. НЗ, не получив желаемого результата, она начала таскать Реутова в свои телефоны. Естественно, Реутов среагировал, что и надо было НЗ. Скорее всего, всё заднее было запланировано (жест, когда из её же статьи "она их ждала" только вот не ждала. Реутов не падает на НЗ руку) и люди снимают её телефон от своего лица (она же, потому есть 4 секунды). Но НЗ не отступает от своей (он же, блин, скандал). Тут не идет в сравнение, человек, человек и полиция с заявлениями о нападении. Только вот, на следующий день никаких "убийств" (или ищущих) на её лице замечено не было (конечно она не пользуется, как она говорит, у ней астерisks на косметике).
- И ещё один момент: зачем специально снимать. Почему такой "горячий" материал проложил целый три дня. Но НЗ сама всё размышляет... (это же не) "то что выдрано видео, смонтированное специально для НЗ образа".
- Ну убьют её завтра. Она же ударит! Аристка блин!
- Она не могла было реагировать вообще на провокации, ну а так конечно давай понятно, что просто телефон от своего лица отключает.
- Конечно, шепот или удар его бы было слышно, ну тут НЗ ведет свою игру.
- Как всегда мерзкая провокация.
- Особенно отвратительная провокация, это уже предвкушаю...
- Как же ждала Реутова! Столько и она его будет в дерьме то похотеть! Вот абсолютно не умеет НЗ проигрывать! Уже

Four days after the threat of public burning, the site shifted its attention from the threat itself to my supposed tendency to stage events and attention-seeking.


[Full publication: Archive A-15.](#)

It contained a mocking photo montage: me against the backdrop of fire. The site already knew about the reported threat, but did not try to identify the sender and did not focus on the issue of my safety. The text assumed that I might have manufactured the incident myself, explained what was happening as a desire for attention, and again returned the reader to my mental state.

Two facts stand side by side. They sent me a threat of public burning. Four days later, the site used the news of this threat to continue its previous characterization of me.


I do not claim that these facts establish a single author or a common plan. The sequence itself is sufficient to understand the impact.

Threat August 2020 · key frame




Original screenshot with a phrase about public burning and a message about surveillance. The file is included completely.

Threat August 2020 · adjacent frame




The original adjacent screenshot preserving the context of the correspondence.

Threat August 2020 continued



Original screenshot repeating the threat of public reprisal.

Publication No. 2759 visual material



The original file saved along with the publication. It confirms what was published, but not the truth of all the publication's statements.

When someone is threatened with being burned alive, and the public response invites people to debate whether they invented the threat themselves due to mental instability, the subject of the conversation changes completely. It is no longer the danger that needs to be checked, but the victim. The person being threatened has to prove that they are “normal” enough to deserve protection.

After that, I read each subsequent publication differently.

8. Fall 2020: The psychiatric frame becomes the universal answer

Psychiatric language appeared more than once and not only in the history of the school.

They called me schizophrenic, they wrote about “persecution mania,” “orderlies,” “spring exacerbation,” the need for doctors and psychiatric help. Alcohol was added to the frame. The same characteristics were transferred from an environmental story to a school story, from a school story to a conflict with the police, from a family story to threats.

There is no medical source in the reviewed corpus on which such a diagnosis could be based. But repetition gradually replaced the source. A reader encountered the same kind of characterisation over and over again and may take the frequency as confirmation.


On 2 October 2020, journalist Irina Slavina died. Police had searched her home the day before. She set herself on fire near the Ministry of Internal Affairs building in Nizhny Novgorod.

I wasn't going to repeat what she did. But by this time fear already existed: I knew Kazantsev's story, and on 17 August I myself received a threat of public burning. Slavina's death was not the beginning of this fear. Her death became another devastating confirmation for me that pressure on a journalist can result in death.

On 19 October, “Bez Tsenzury” published a text with the headline “Hunger strike, then self-immolation, a journalist from Kiselevsk needs medical help.” The death of another journalist, the subject of self-immolation and my environmental hunger strike were placed alongside a call for a medical explanation of me.

BEZ TSENZURY / WORDPRESS · 19 OCTOBER 2020

Hunger strike, then self-immolation, a journalist from Kiselevsk needs medical help



The death of a journalist, self-immolation and my environmental hunger strike were combined into one headline calling for a medical explanation.

[Full publication: Archive A-28.](#)

I read this article against the background of a fear that had already taken shape. After the story of Kazantsev, the threat to publicly burn me and the death of Slavina, it no longer looked like mere crude mockery to me.

I began to fear that the created image of a mentally unstable person might one day become a ready-made explanation for what would happen to me. If I disappeared, died or was found dead, the public would already have been offered an answer: she was crazy, she had been heading towards it herself.

Today I formulate my understanding of the experience directly:

I think they deliberately drove me to suicide.

This is my current belief. It did not arise from one article, but from several years of threats, psychiatric framing, the involvement of my family, social isolation and the repetition of the theme of suicide and self-immolation. The evidence appendix can establish external actions, publications, messages and consistency. It should neither confirm nor invalidate my internal conclusion about what was done to me.

Telephone and Anna Chursina

Anna Chursina appeared in this line as a participant in the conflict around the school. In October 2020, when I was trying to get a comment, an episode happened with my phone.

The phone left my hands, ended up in other people's hands, was moved and then returned. I called the police and filed a report. The decision to refuse to initiate a criminal case considered a specific legal issue: whether it was possible to establish intent to steal. No such intent was established.

The document did not say that nothing had happened. It did not deny that the phone had been moved, nor did it declare every participant's conduct lawful or proper. It answered a narrower question.


МВД России
ГЛАВНОЕ УПРАВЛЕНИЕ
МИНИСТЕРСТВА ВНУТРЕННИХ ДЕЛ
РОССИЙСКОЙ ФЕДЕРАЦИИ
ПО КЕМЕРОВСКОЙ ОБЛАСТИ
(ГУ МВД России по Кемеровской области)
Отдел Министерства
внутренних дел
Российской Федерации
по городу Киселевску
652700 Кемеровская область,
г. Киселевск, ул. Коммунальная, 19
ИНН 4211004611
30.10.2020 № 14168
на № _____

г. Киселевск, ул. Дружбы, 3-6
гр-ке Зубковой Наталье Александровне

Копия: г. Киселевск, ул. 1 Мая, 5-27
гр-ке Чурсиной Алле Анатольевне

УВЕДОМЛЕНИЕ

об отказе в возбуждении уголовного дела

В соответствии с п. 2 ч. 1 и ч. 2 ст. 145 УПК РФ уведомляю Вас о том, что по факту хищения имущества, принадлежащего Зубковой Н.А., мною 30.10.2020 вынесено постановление об отказе в возбуждении уголовного дела в соответствии с п. 2 ч. 1 ст. 24 УПК РФ в связи с отсутствием в деянии Чурсиной А.А. состава преступления, предусмотренного ч. 1 ст. 161 УК РФ.

Данное решение может быть обжаловано прокурору г. Киселевска либо в Киселевский городской суд в порядке, установленном гл. 16 УПК РФ.

Приложение: постановление об отказе в возбуждении уголовного дела на 2 листах.

Старший дознаватель ОД
Отдела МВД России по г. Киселевску
майор полиции



Н.В. Гаврилова

ПОСТАНОВЛЕНИЕ

об отказе в возбуждении уголовного дела

г. Киселевск

30 октября 2020 года

Старший дознаватель ОД Отдела МВД России по г. Киселевску майор полиции Гаврилова Н.В., рассмотрев материал по факту хищения имущества, принадлежащего Зубковой Н.А., зарегистрированный в КУСП Отдела МВД России по г. Киселевску 28.10.2020 за № 3993,

УСТАНОВИЛ:

28.10.2020 в КУСП Отдела МВД России по г. Киселевску за № 3993 зарегистрировано сообщение Зубковой Н.А. о том, что Чурсина А.А. забрала у нее сотовый телефон.

В ходе проверки материала установлено, что Зубкова Н.А. около 3 лет знакома с Чурсиной А.А., между ними деловые отношения. 28.10.2020 около 13 часов Зубкова Н.А. пришла в магазин «Спорт Стиль», расположенный по адресу: Кемеровская область, г. Киселевск, ул. Ленина, 25, в котором работает Чурсина А.А., так как хотела поговорить с Чурсиной А.А. по поводу ее комментариев к публикациям о Зубковой Н.А. в социальной сети. Заходя в магазин, Зубкова Н.А. сразу же стала производить видеосъемку происходящего на свой сотовый телефон. В магазине находилась Чурсина А.А., у которой Зубкова Н.А. стала выяснять интересующие ее вопросы. Чурсина А.А. оделась и направилась к выходу из магазина. Зубкова Н.А. преградила Чурсиной А.А. путь, продолжая выяснять интересующие ее вопросы. Чурсина А.А. стала вырывать сотовый телефон из рук Зубковой Н.А., Зубкова Н.А. сопротивлялась этому, предупредила, что производит видеосъемку. Чурсина А.А. вырвала из рук Зубковой Н.А. сотовый телефон, передала его Плотникову И.В., который находился в этот момент в магазине, он занес сотовый телефон в подсобное помещение. Зубкова Н.А. вызвала сотрудников полиции, которым сообщила, что Чурсина А.А. забрала у нее сотовый телефон. Сотовый телефон Зубковой Н.А. был изъят в ходе осмотра места происшествия — магазина «Спорт Стиль», и возвращен Зубковой Н.А.

Опрошенная Чурсина А.А. пояснила, что она работает продавцом магазине «Спорт Стиль», расположенном по адресу: Кемеровская область, г. Киселевске, ул. Ленина, 25. 28.10.2020 в дневное время она находилась на работе, когда в магазин зашла Зубкова Н.А., которая стала производить ее видеосъемку на сотовый телефон. С Зубковой Н.А. она знакома, та выставляет в социальных сетях видеопубликации, она их комментирует. Она хотела выйти из магазина, но Зубкова Н.А. не выпускала ее из магазина. Тогда она забрала из рук Зубковой Н.А. сотовый телефон, чтобы та прекратила видеосъемку, так как Зубкова Н.А. снимала ее, а она этого не хотела. После она нажала на кнопку экстренного вызова охраны. Похищать сотовый телефон у Зубковой Н.А. она не собиралась.

Опрошенный Плотников И.В. пояснил, что 28.10.2020 в 13-м часу он решил зайти в магазин «Спорт Стиль», расположенный по адресу: Кемеровская область, г. Киселевск, ул. Ленина, 25, чтобы навестить своих

знакомых Чурсину А.А. и Соломатову О.А., которые там работают. Он пришел в магазин, в магазине находились Чурсина А.А. и Соломатова О.А., девушки заказали пиццу и ждали доставку. 28.10.2020 около 13 часов в магазин зашла Зубкова Н.А., держа в правой руке сотовый телефон, и сказала, что ведется видеосъемка в прямом эфире. Он видел Зубкову Н.А., впервые, он знает ее визуально по публикациям в социальных сетях. Зубкова Н.А. сказала, что хочет поговорить о ее банковских счетах, при этом снимала все происходящее на свой сотовый телефон. В этот момент Чурсина А.А. оделась и направилась к выходу из магазина, чтобы выйти на улицу и забрать доставку заказа. Однако, Зубкова Н.А. преградила Чурсиной А.А. путь, сказала, что не выпустит Чурсину А.А. из магазина. Чурсина А.А. сказала, что заберет заказ и вернется, хотела пройти мимо Зубковой Н.А. и выйти из магазина, но Зубкова Н.А. схватила Чурсину А.А. за волосы, между ними началась патосовка, они не отпускали друг друга, Чурсина А.А. забрала у Зубковой Н.А. и протянула телефон в его сторону, сказав, чтобы он забрал телефон, иначе он разобьется. Он взял у Чурсиной А.А. из рук сотовый телефон Зубковой Н.А. и положил на прилавок в торговом зале. Все произошло быстро, за несколько секунд. После чего Зубкова Н.А. отпустила Чурсину А.А. и сама вышла из магазина, сказав, что сейчас вызовет полицию, телефон остался на прилавке в торговом зале. Девушки также нажали на кнопку экстренного вызова охраны, так как боялись, что Зубкова Н.А. снова придет и будет скандалить, а сотовый телефон Зубковой Н.А. убрали в подсобное помещение, чтобы он не находился в торговом зале без присмотра. Сотовый телефон у Зубковой Н.А. никто не похищал и не собирался этого делать. После чего он ушел из магазина по делам, на улице увидел Зубкову Н.А. Зубкова Н.А. крикнула ей: «Эй ты, отдай телефон», на что он сказал Зубковой Н.А., что ее телефон находится в магазине, сказал, чтобы она зашла и забрала его, после чего ушел. Позже от девушек он узнал, что приехали сотрудники охраны, в магазин вернулась Зубкова Н.А., сказала, что вызвала полицию, хотела забрать телефон и уйти, но охранники сказали Зубковой Н.А., чтобы она оставалась в магазине до приезда полиции и забирала телефон при них.

Таким образом, основания для возбуждения уголовного дела по признакам состава преступления, предусмотренного ч. 1 ст. 161 УК РФ, в отношении Чурсиной А.А. отсутствуют, так как умысел на хищение чужого имущества у нее отсутствовал.

Принимая во внимание вышеизложенное, руководствуясь п. 2 ч. 1 ст. 24, ст. 144, 145 и 148 УПК РФ,

ПОСТАНОВИЛ:

1. Отказать в возбуждении уголовного дела по факту хищения имущества, принадлежащего Зубковой Н.А., в отношении Чурсиной А.А. за отсутствием в ее деянии состава преступления, предусмотренного ч. 1 ст. 161 УК РФ.
2. Копию настоящего постановления направить прокурору г. Киселевска старшему советнику юстиции Трефилову А.О.

3. Копию настоящего постановления направить заинтересованным лицам, разъяснив право обжаловать данное постановление прокурору или в суд в порядке, установленном ст.ст. 124 и 125 УПК РФ.

Старший дознаватель ОД
Отдела МВД России по г. Киселевску
майор полиции



Н.В. Гаврилова

Копия настоящего постановления направлена прокурору г. Киселевска старшему советнику юстиции Трефилову А.О. 30 октября 2020 года.

Копия настоящего постановления направлена заинтересованным лицам – Зубковой Н.А., Чурсиной А.А., 30 октября 2020 года.

Старший дознаватель ОД
Отдела МВД России по г. Киселевску
майор полиции



Н.В. Гаврилова


«СОГЛАСОВАНО»
Начальник ОД Отдела
МВД России по г. Киселевску
подполковник полиции

В.Ф. Одногулова

On 30 October, Bez Tsenzury published the article “Full Moon or a Schizophrenic Episode?” The initial event was a conflict that grew out of my work with the school. But the publication focused on my alleged psychiatric diagnosis, alcohol, family, children, doctors and guardianship authorities.

BEZ TSENZURY / WORDPRESS · 30 OCTOBER 2020

Full Moon or a Schizophrenic Episode?



The title set the psychiatric frame even before the event was presented; the school story became a reason to discuss the health, family and children of the journalist.

[Full publication: Archive A-30.](#)

On 5 November, the site published a copy of the resolution under the heading “Everything fell into place.” The narrow procedural finding that there was no established intent to steal turned into a general verdict about me. The document was used not only to answer a question about the phone, but also as confirmation of my insecurities, nerves, appearance and perceived health.

BEZ TSENZURY / WORDPRESS · 5 NOVEMBER 2020

Everything fell into place

A narrow procedural document was presented as a general verdict on my credibility. This is an example of expanding the meaning of a document beyond its actual finding.

[Full publication: Archive A-31.](#)

What the authorities were trying to establish

I have been told more than once that it was not possible to identify the author of a publication, message or non-public account. Over time, it was no longer only the final refusal that mattered to me. I wanted to understand exactly what actions were taken before this conclusion.

There is an example preserved in my own materials showing that technical identification was possible. On 27 November 2020, the police sent Mail.ru a request concerning the Kiselevsk News Review group on Odnoklassniki. In response, the platform designated the specific account as “Group Administrator” and provided the associated registration and technical information, including phone number. The police report dated December 26 reflects that during operational search activities, the user of this number, Maria Ulyanova, was identified.


This does not prove that Ulyanova wrote all the group’s publications, sent me threats, or managed other resources. But this example is important for another reason: the police could actually ask the platform for administrator account information, obtain the associated telephone number and identify its user.

Therefore, reading the phrase “author unknown” in other materials, I asked: were comparable requests sent to platforms, were registration data, authorization addresses and information from telecom operators requested, was a technical check carried out? I did not see such a set of actions in some of the surviving refusal materials. This does not give me the right to write that the employees did nothing at all. But the final phrase about an unidentified author does not in itself mean that technical identification was impossible.

On 9 January 2021, “Bez Tsenzury” already acknowledged that the school building was unsafe, but this did not change anything. The school had a serious problem, but I was still presented as the problem. On 13 January, the material addressed to Andrei Karaulov actually invited him not to check the condition of the school, but to familiarize himself with the “dossier” assembled about me.

BEZ TSENZURY / WORDPRESS · 9 JANUARY 2021

A Guilty Conscience Betrays Itself, or Who Is Afraid of the New Legislation?



HOME / TELEGRAM

На воре и шапка горит или кого пугает новое законодательство

09.01.2021 / BEZ TSENZURY

Редактор «Киселевских новостей» на ужесточение статьи 128.1 УК РФ – «Клевета» отреагировала молниеносно. Смекнула, что за грязный язык можно присесть на 2 года. В прошлом – экоактивистка, ныне – скандалистка Наталья Зубкова кинулась к журналистам и блогерам со слезной просьбой – защитить ее от правосудия

В интервью, которые Зубкова раздает направо и налево, она заявляет о том, что подвергается жесткому прессингу со стороны органов местного самоуправления и правоохранителей из-за того, что имела неосторожность встать на защиту четырехсот детей – учащихся МБОУ «Основная школа 24».

Видео запрос

комментарий · Перепост · Подписаться · ...

Even while acknowledging the seriousness of the school's condition, the publication kept my personality as a central theme and suggested that journalistic work be perceived through a pre-assembled “dossier”.

[Full publication: Archive A-37.](#)

By the beginning of 2021, I no longer perceived each publication separately. They developed into an environment in which any of my actions had a pathological explanation in advance, and the narrow conclusion of the document could become a general verdict on my personality.

9. February 2021: when the feeling of private space disappeared

In February 2021, things began to happen very quickly.

Roman Kupriyanov's home was searched. His phone was seized. This phone contained our WhatsApp correspondence. I was one of the participants and remember that I told Roma how work on the film "Black Snow" was going.

On 22 February, "Bez Tsenzury" wrote that sources in law enforcement had told the site about Roma's correspondence, including with me. The next day, the site published a fragment of another correspondence, saying that the image had been submitted anonymously.

I don't know who read my messages. I cannot say that the police handed over my correspondence to the editors. I don't know if the conversation about the film was known to anyone through the phone, from public sources or in some other way.

But I remember well what happened to me. After the search, the seized phone and publications about the correspondence, the private conversation no longer felt private. I no longer understood where the boundaries of the circle of people who had access to my life lay.

Galina Karpova

Shortly before I left, Galina Karpova found me.

She wanted to tell who, in her view, stood behind Anna Chursina and why Chursina behaves so confidently. Galina talked about her son Alexander, his death, flat, Chursina, Gavrilov, Sergei Gorbunov and other people.

I didn't know which of her conclusions would stand up to scrutiny. There were criminal cases in her past, and later they were used to present her entire new account as deliberately false. But a witness's criminal record is not an automatic answer to every question that follows. I decided to record the interview and show the documents that I could find.

On 25 February, I spoke with Karpova. That same day I went for a walk with my dog Chip. An unknown man pulled my hood and threatened me with consequences if I "opened my mouth" again.

I don't know who he was or who sent him. I do not present a connection with Karpova's conversation as an established fact. But for me, these events forever remained in the sequence of one day: new information, a conversation, a walk with the dog and a physical threat to remain silent.

By then, I had behind me years of flat stories, the August threat to burn me, publications about my mental health and family, the sense that private correspondence could be accessed and a steadily diminishing belief that another appeal would protect me.

I decided to leave.

10. Departure


On 27 February 2021, I flew from Novosibirsk to Yekaterinburg. Then my journey from Russia began.

I hid the city I was in and changed my phone number. I didn't leave because I finished work and decided to start a beautiful new life. I left because I no longer felt protected.

On 2 March, "Bez Tsenzury" published a database row containing my personal data, date and flight route. The resource wrote that it received information from a Telegram bot through which you can access the databases. The name of the original database and the full path to obtaining information were not shown.

BEZ TSENZURY / WORDPRESS · 2 MARCH 2021

Natalia Zubkova has found a new source of enrichment



HOME / TELEGRAM

Наталья Зубкова нашла новый источник обогащения

02.03.2021 / BEZ TSENZURY

Спектакль, разыгранный редактором «Киселевских новостей», принес ей почти полмиллиона рублей

Такую солидную сумму Зубкова собрала за двое суток. Что ж... Вынуждены признать: журналистка из Натальи так себе, а вот актриса — ничего. Такую драму разыграть не каждый сможет. Ночное нападение, угрозы от человека в черном, бегство из родного города, прощание с мужем в эфире «Sota Vision» и слезы, слезы, слезы...

Будь всё это правдой, Зубковой можно было бы посочувствовать. Но смеем вас заверить, дорогие подписчики, эта история — ни что иное, как тщательно спланированный спектакль. И мы готовы это доказать. Начнем сначала: 26 февраля Наталья дала интервью сетевому изданию «SOTA», в котором сообщила, что 25-го в районе 23:00 часов на нее совершено нападение.

SOTA
Журналистка издания «Новости Киселевска» Наталья Зубкова сообщила о совершенном на нее нападении

Вчера (25 февраля) в 23:00 Наталья вышла на улицу выгулять собаку. Неизвестный мужчина подошел к ней сзади, схватил за капюшон и резко опустил ее на снег. Журналистка оказалась на коленях и на руках практически лицом в снег.

Наталья сказала, что голос принадлежал молодому мужчине, а говорил человек полупешетом. Он пригрозил физической расправой ее дочерям, если она «еще хоть раз откроет рот». У Натальи трое детей. Старший сын служит в армии. Средней дочери 16 лет, а младшей 9.

Собака громко лаяла, но никто из местных жителей не обратил на это внимания. Мужчина отпустил Наталью и спешно ушел во дворы. На нем была темная одежда. Разглядеть лица не

Поиск... ПОИСК

ПОДПИСАТЬСЯ НА НАС

комментарий Перепост Подписаться ...

Information about the flight was combined with the thesis about a "new source of enrichment." The published base string is reproduced as a saved source object.

[Full publication: Archive A-43.](#)

The flight itself was a real fact. That's why it was easy to build a broader story around it.

The publication used the database entry to frame the assault and threats as staged, and the departure as a way to make money by raising money. But the ticket and route cannot establish why the person left. They do not answer the question of whether there were threats, what they feared, how they made the decision, or why they raised funds.

Publication No. 5219 · database entry containing flight data

[illegible]

On 5 March, I published an interview with Karpova. On 14 March, a response material appeared on VKontakte. On 22 March, Bez Tsenzury reprinted and amplified this version under the heading “Zubkova Has Hit Rock Bottom.”

BEZ TSENZURY / WORDPRESS · 22 MARCH 2021

Zubkova Has Hit Rock Bottom

HOME / TELEGRAM

Зубкова пробила дно

22.03.2021 / BEZTSENZURY

Наша скандальная журналистка в очередной раз показала себя лживой и мстительной истеричкой. Для того чтобы вставить палки в колеса каждому, кто однажды осмелился обоснованно указать на её неадекватность, Зубкова готова прибегнуть к любой абсурдной мерзости, что не так давно произошло в очередной раз по отношению к Чурсиной.

В попытках изгадить репутацию своего давнего врага, Зубкова не придумала ничего лучше, чем пустить в информационное пространство клевету, правда озвученную не своими словами, а словами наркобарыги с внушительным стажем. Вся эта грязная история получила свое качественное разоблачение [здесь](#). Если у вас осталась хоть капля надежды на то, что Зубкова постоянно врет не от злого сердца, а от глупости или иных обстоятельств непреодолимой силы – рекомендуем это разоблачение изучить и при желании даже [перепроверить](#).

Раз уж теперь предельно ясно, что Зубкова способна на такие [низкие поступки](#), то многое становится на свои места. Нет сомнения больше и в том, что её подписные листы могли быть запросто сфальсифицированы и невозможно теперь поверить во всевозможные истерические сказки о бесконечных нападениях на саму себя.

Почему она все никак не может понять, что постоянно используя в своей псевдожурналистской деятельности такие мерзкие методы, как клевета, она портит только свою собственную репутацию? Или же репутация в родном Киселевске и родном Кузбассе её попросту больше не волнует. Ведь вполне возможно, что вымыслы о нападениях на свою персону появились не на ровном месте, а лишь являются частью [хитрого плана](#) по получению статуса беженца и льготного переезда в ту самую страну, консульство которой находится в...

Поиск... ПОИСК

ПОДПИСАТЬСЯ НА НАС

комментарий Перепост Подписаться ...

The source's past was used as a ready-made answer to her account and at the same time applied to me as well: a conversation with a person became proof of my unreliability.

[Full publication: Archive A-47.](#)

Instead of consistently checking the new story, Karpova's past criminal cases were put at the centre. Her past was used as a ready-made answer to the history of the flat, her son and Chursina. At the same time, it became new evidence of my unreliability: if I talk to a person with such a biography, it means that I myself have "hit the bottom."

This is how discredit passed from the journalist to the source and back. I was labeled as untrustworthy because of the people I talked to. People were declared untrustworthy because I spoke to them.

On 8 April, I sent a statement to the heads of the FSB and the Investigative Committee for the Sverdlovsk Region. I wrote about threats to the family, public executions, the publication of private conversations, flat stories, and what I considered to be the inaction of law enforcement agencies. On 15 April, the FSB registered the appeal and sent it to the prosecutor's office of the Kemerovo region.

This document does not prove every assumption I made at the time. It confirms something else: already in April 2021, I described exactly this picture to state institutions. I didn't come up with it years later when I started collecting this text.

Natalia's statement dated 8 April 2021 · page 1 of 3

Начальнику УФСБ по Свердловской области
Зиновьеву Алексею Владимировичу
Руководителю СУСК РФ по Свердловской области
Богинскому Михаилу Вячеславовичу
От редактора сетевого издания «Новости Киселевска»
Зубковой Натальи Александровны
652702 Кемеровская область
Г. Киселевск, ул. Дружбы 3-6
Майл: Kiselevsknews@gmail.com

Заявление

Прошу Вас провести проверку изложенных ниже фактов, ставших известных мне.

1. 27.02.2021 года, опасаясь за свою жизнь и здоровье, я была вынуждена уехать из своего города Киселевск в город Екатеринбург, где проживала на съёмной квартире, я никому не сообщала в каком городе и по какому адресу я нахожусь, сменила номер телефона.

07.04.2021 года около 10.30 утра ко мне по данному адресу снимаемой мной квартиры в городе Екатеринбурге по ул. Уральских рабочих, дом 17 кв. 97 пришла заместитель руководителя следственного комитета по г. Киселевску (Кемеровская область) Варламова Татьяна Владимировна с двумя неизвестными мне молодыми мужчинами и через моего адвоката вручила повестку на допрос в качестве потерпевшей. При этом пояснила, что возбуждено уголовное дело по части 3 ст. 144 УК РФ по факту нападения на меня 25.02.2021, информация о котором была выявлена из сообщений СМИ.

Заявление по данному факту мной не подавалось, сведения о том, что в отношении меня было совершено воспрепятствование законной журналисткой деятельности, я не подтверждаю, мужчина, дернувший меня за капюшон, не причинил мне физического и морального вреда, данное обстоятельство не является причиной, вынудившей меня покинуть свой город.

2. Причиной, по которой я была вынуждена уехать из своего города в город Екатеринбург - угроза моей жизни и жизни моей семьи и систематическое бездействие правоохранительных органов, в том числе и Следственного комитета.

С конца 2019 года я не раз обращалась в правоохранительные органы с заявлениями о поступающих в мой адрес и адрес моей семьи угрозах. Так, мне поступали угрозы сжечь меня на публичной казни, писали в соцсетях, что моим детям немного осталось, так как они у меня «инвалиды». Неизвестные лица вымогали с меня деньги на страницах администрации города Киселевска в сети Интернет в социальной сети «Одноклассники» в открытом доступе.

В присутствии судебных приставов меня ударил по лицу председатель совета предпринимателей города Киселевска Реутов А.И., в связи с тем, что я написала статью о том, как он пытается незаконно наживать на инвалидах.

Natalia's statement dated 8 April 2021 · page 2 of 3

1. 20.02.2021 года сотрудниками Центра «Э» по Кемеровской области и СОБРОм был проведен обыск у моего коллеги Куприянова Р.А., который проходит свидетелем по делу о публикациях аудио записей, где губернатор Кузбасса С. Цивилев угрожает «закошмарить» любого, кто напишет на него жалобу в прокуратуру, чтобы желание писать жалобы на Цивилева пропало раз и навсегда.
Мне причинила большой вред публикуемая _____ (кем?) в открытом доступе _____ (где?) информация о том, что в моей квартире стоит прослушивающее устройство. Наши семейные диалоги периодически публиковались на ресурсе в сети интернет в открытом доступе. Об этом мною _____ (когда?) сообщалось в СУ СК по городу Киселевску, однако, каких-либо действий по защите моих прав предпринято не было.

2. Я ----- когда? обращалась в Пятое следственное управление следственного комитета по СФО в г. Новосибирске, когда мне прислали угрозу, что зам губернатора Цивилева, бывший сотрудник ФСБ Догадов В.А. запустит нужные механизмы, чтобы уничтожить журналистов, которые писали о событиях в Черемзе (жители препятствовали незаконному причинении вреда землям сельхоз назначения дочерней компанией ООО «Разрез Кузнецкий Южный».

На протяжении более года и Отдел СУ СК по г. Киселевску, и ОМВД по г. Киселевску, точно так же, как и областные управления этих правоохранительных органов, систематически выносили отказы в возбуждении уголовных дел, препятствовали мне в ознакомлении с материалами проверки. Понимая, что я и моя младшая дочь из-за систематического бездействия со стороны правоохранительных органов можем быть в любой момент подвергнуты опасности, что нашим жизням и здоровью угрожает опасность, а правоохранительные органы систематически игнорируют мои обращения, мною было принято решение уехать из области.

3. Полагаю, что неожиданный визит Т.В. Варламовой может быть вызван личными мотивами.
С 2019 года я, как журналист, работала над темой «черных риелторов» в нашем городе – события с потерпевшими Леонидом Кравченко, Карповым Александром и его матерью Карповой Галиной Петровной. Потерпевшие умерли в 2014-2015 годах. В событиях фигурировали сотрудники МВД г. Киселевска: Гаврилов Н.Н., Ратай (инициалы мне не известны), Кулаков (инициалы мне не известны). Карпова Галина Петровна обращалась в отдел ФСБ по г. Киселевску к сотруднику Дружинину (инициалы мне не известны). Сотрудник ФСБ дал ей диктофон, на который были зафиксированы факты, как сотрудники полиции Гаврилов, Ратай и Кулаков вымогают у нее личное имущество - квартиру и автомобиль. Однако при обыске, проведенном полицией, диктофон был изъят, записи уничтожены. Тем не менее она успела передать записи со своего телефона Дружинину. После ареста Карповой сотрудник ФСБ Дружинин был срочно переведен в другой город области. Таким образом, в 2014-2015 году в зоне ответственности Отдела полиции МВД РФ по г. Киселевску «Афонино» происходили истории, вызывающие много вопросов. Я ими занималась, как журналист.
Начальником ОМВД по г. Киселевску «Афонино» на тот момент был супруг Варламовой Т. В. Андрей Владимирович Варламов. Гаврилов Н.Н. был его заместителем. Ратай находился в подчинении у Гаврилова. К Варламову обращались родные потерпевших. В том числе и Галина Петровна Карпова, но всем всегда отказывали в возбуждении уголовных дел.
Учитывая описанные факты, считаю, что у Варламовой Т.В. присутствуют личные мотивы для попыток ограничить мою деятельность как журналиста и не допустить опубликования известных мне фактов, в том числе методами, которые не являются законными и обоснованными.

Учитывая, что по возбужденному (со слов Варламой Т.В.) уголовному делу я являюсь потерпевшей, а не подозреваемой, отсутствуют законные основания проведения оперативно-розыскных мероприятий в отношении меня, исключается возможность получения Варламовой

Natalia's statement dated 8 April 2021 · page 3 of 3

1. Т.В. постановления суда на проведение мероприятий по установлению места моего нахождения, которые могли бы помочь выявить мое место нахождения. Исходя из изложенного, в действиях сотрудников СК г. Киселевска Кемеровской области усматриваются нарушения требований законодательства РФ,

В связи с вышеизложенным,

Прошу Вас

провести проверку законности и обоснованности действий Варламовой Т.В., наличия ее личных мотивов и цели скрыть информацию о событиях в период 2014-2015 года на территории ОМВД «Афонино» г. Киселевска, где в то время руководителем был ее супруг.

провести проверку иных предоставляемых мной в данном заявлении сведений

принять меры, предусмотренные Законами РФ.

Зубкова Н.А.

08.04.2021 г.

Full-page reproduction of saved DOCX without changing the text. The document confirms that Natalia reported this information in April 2021, but does not automatically confirm the truth of each message.

Response from the FSB · Appeal No. 3-579



ФЕДЕРАЛЬНАЯ
СЛУЖБА БЕЗОПАСНОСТИ
РОССИЙСКОЙ ФЕДЕРАЦИИ
(ФСБ России)

Н.А. Зубковой

УПРАВЛЕНИЕ
ПО СВЕРДЛОВСКОЙ ОБЛАСТИ
(УФСБ России по Свердловской области)

04.2021 № 3-579
г. Екатеринбург, 620014

kiselevsknews@gmail.com

Сообщаем, что Ваше обращение (вх. № 3-579 от 15 апреля 2021 г.), поступившее с портала fsb@fsb.ru в УФСБ России по Свердловской области, содержит вопросы, решение которых не входит в компетенцию органов федеральной службы безопасности.

В связи с этим в соответствии с частью 3 статьи 8 Федерального закона от 2 мая 2006 г. № 59-ФЗ «О порядке рассмотрения обращений граждан Российской Федерации» обращение направлено в прокуратуру Кемеровской области - Кузбасса по адресу: г. Кемерово, ул. Красная, д. 24.

Данное решение может быть обжаловано в соответствии с законодательством Российской Федерации.

Заместитель начальника Управления

Е.В. Петлин

Complete source file. Confirms the registration and movement of the request within the text of the response.

I left, but the version created around me did not stay in Kiselevsk.

11. After Russia


The first months after leaving, I tried to figure out how to move on with my life.

Physical distance came immediately. Psychological distance did not.

Publications on the previous site continued. In May 2021, the site continued to write about what I did in Georgia. In July, the site returned to Loginova's story while again discussing my alleged drinking, mental health and private life. In August, a sexualized publication about family and feminism appeared. In October, Reutov's old story was turned into a story about my alleged romantic attacks on men. In November, my life in Georgia was connected with a sex shop. In December I was included in the annual "results".

BEZ TSENZURY / WORDPRESS · 7 MAY 2021

Picket in a foreign land or what Natalia Zubkova is doing in Georgia



HOME / TELEGRAM

Пикет на чужбине или чем Наталья Зубкова занята в Грузии

07.05.2021 / BEZ TSENZURY

Региональных и федеральные СМИ облетела новость о том, что редактор интернет-издания «Новости Киселевска» провела пикет в Тбилиси. Якобы таким образом женщина выразила протест коррупции в органах власти

Напомним, самопровозглашенная журналистка [Наталья Зубкова](#), основательно оскандалившись с региональными властями, правоохранителями и рядовыми гражданами, поняла, что в Киселевске ей делать нечего. Ведь рано или поздно [за грязный язык](#) придется ответить. Решила бежать. Для этого придумала историю о том, что на нее напали неизвестные и пригрозили расправой. Под предлогом спасти себя и почему-то только младшую дочь Зубкова выехала в Екатеринбург, где на донаты от сердобольных подписчиков прожила два месяца. Кстати, ей накидали тогда почти [полмиллиона рублей](#). Но эти деньги Зубкова потратила ни на лечение своих детей, а на закрытие кредитов. Не исключено, что она бы и дальше находилась в Екатеринбурге, если бы ни одно НО: историей с нападением заинтересовались правоохранительные органы. Дело попахивало разоблачением, в связи с чем Зубкова вновь подалась в бег.

В этот раз недожурналистку-недоопозиционерку приютила отнюдь не гостеприимная Грузия, а именно: город Тбилиси. О затяжном конфликте нашего государства и бывшей союзной республики знает, наверное, каждый. Наши с вами соотечественников в Грузии, мягко говоря, не жалуют. Что касается российских журналистов, то нельзя не упомянуть историю Владимира Познера, прибывшего в Тбилиси 1 апреля отметить свой 87-й день рождения. Оппозиционно настроенные грузинские граждане устроили акцию протеста у

Поиск... ПОИСК

ПОДПИСАТЬСЯ НА НАС

комментарий Перепост Подписаться ...

After leaving, the site continued to write about my public actions in Georgia, maintaining the same frame of flight, money and personal unreliability.

[Full publication: Archive A-49.](#)

On 24 January 2022, material about my life in Georgia was published. In it, the name of the village of Chakvi was spelled incorrectly - “Chakli”. The error itself is small. But it shows the attitude to reality well: the site continued to confidently explain my life, not always correctly naming the place where I lived.

On 4 February 2022, the website published information that made it possible to identify where Olga lived and a photograph of her house with a distinguishable number. I will not repeat the address, house number and the image itself: it was the dissemination of those data that became the subject of our appeal.

Olga and I contacted the prosecutor's office and Roskomnadzor. The currently available set does not contain all the primary acts to reconstruct day by day what decision initially applied to a specific material and on what exact basis the entire resource was later limited. It was established that on 3 June 2022, access to the site in Russia was limited. For me this episode is important not only technically. It shows that the complaints against the publications concerned more than just insults: the resource tied the story about private life to a person's specific place of residence.

The last WordPress publication in the saved full corpus is dated 17 May 2022. The main body of materials about me ends with the publication on 15 April 2022, where I was again credited with influencing another person and shown a fragment of someone else's correspondence without an established chain of provenance.

But stopping WordPress did not mean the disappearance of the created image.

Transition between sites

The site did not exist in isolation. It linked to LiveJournal, Blogger, Telegram, VK and other platforms. Sometimes one material was transferred almost entirely, sometimes new text referred to the old one, sometimes an image appeared again months later.

The connection with the Telegram channel was especially noticeable [SheglovkaNews](#), which the site itself presented as associated with “Kuzbass Bez Tsenzury”. Hundreds of links to this channel were found in saved WordPress pages. Currently, 271 specific links lead to messages whose text is no longer available; locally preserved addresses and technical copies without restored content.

I cannot tell the reader everything that happened in the deleted part of the channel. This is precisely the loss: the route of the links remains visible, but part of the publication record has disappeared along with the content of the messages.

On 12 December 2021, even before WordPress stopped working, the Telegram channel “KuZbass Zoo” appeared. It worked in parallel with the site for about five months, so it is incorrect to portray it as a channel created only after WordPress was blocked.

Connections between sites are visible. “KuZbass Zoo” referred to at least 25 times [SheglovkaNews](#) or mentioned “Kuzbass Bez Tsenzury”, called them “colleagues” and used several images previously published on WordPress. In both arrays, mocking nicknames, sexualization, psychiatric and alcohol frames, and discussions of appearance and private life were repeated.

This is not enough to declare both sites one editorial office or name their operators. But this is enough to see the continuity of themes and methods of presentation.

In the collected KuZbass Zoo corpus from December 2021 to September 2026, my name is mentioned in 159 messages. The first surviving mention is on 27 December 2021.

For me, these numbers are not a competition over the number of insults. They show how long the attention continued. After I physically left Russia, my new life continued to become material.

Chakvi and Tikhoye Mesto


In Chakvi, together with my friends, I created the Tikhoye Mesto shelter. It officially began operations on 1 November 2022. We helped people displaced from their homes after the start of the war, and tried to create a place where people could recover, regain some stability and decide what to do next.

The shelter is still operating. I remain its director.

On 19 March 2024, “KuZbass Zoo” wrote that I abandoned the shelter, I was “kicked out” of Georgia, and the project no longer exists, although I continue to raise money for it. LGBTQ themes, drugs, conflicts with neighbours, and sexualized coarse language were added to the text.

TELEGRAM / KUZBASS ZOO · 19 MARCH 2024

Publication about the Tikhoye Mesto shelter



The working shelter was presented as abandoned and non-existent, and the narrative about the project was supplemented with old disparaging lines and crude sexualized language.

[Full publication: Archive A-61.](#)

The verifiable reality is simpler: the project’s active website continued in 2026 to seek support for the work of the shelter; The Anti-War Committee of Russia reported in May 2026 that I have been supporting “Tikhoye Mesto” for several years. External publications in 2022 and 2023 named me as the founder and described the work of the project in Chakvi.

I am not obliged to prove the existence of the place that I continue to manage with each new publication. But this episode shows what happened to the created image after Russia. The real project could be declared abandoned and non-existent, and my ongoing work could be declared a fundraiser for a fictional project.


"Black Snow"

On 21 March 2024, the same channel wrote about the film "Black Snow."

The film was called a "mockumentary" and its appearance was associated with "anti-Russian sentiments," foreign money and earnings. Alina Simone's real trip to Kuzbass in 2019, my assistance in the field work, and the later film were used as the basis for the old frame: foreign interest, money and opposition to Russia.

TELEGRAM / KUZBASS ZOO · 21 MARCH 2024

Publication about the film "Black Snow"



Black Snow was placed within the familiar frame of foreign money and anti-Russian activities; the actual story behind the film became the material for a larger construction.

[Full publication: Archive A-62.](#)

The day before, the channel wrote about the shelter. A few days later, it commented on my new public statements. This does not prove technical surveillance or explain how the authors learned about each news item. But what I observed was this: new events in my life quickly became the subject of publications.

I may not read these resources for a very long time. I can deliberately not go there, work, contribute to a film, run the shelter and pursue other projects. But the information still comes back to me through other people. Someone sends a link. Someone says that they wrote about me again. Someone reports that a resource is discussing my new publication or project.

Because of this, I continue to feel like my public life is under constant observation. I'm talking specifically about my experience and perception of the threat, and not about proven round-the-clock technical surveillance. I do not know how the author of each material obtains information. This question is not the centre of my current life.

The central point is different: I left Russia, but did not get the feeling that this story remained there.

Even before the Emmy result was announced, I wrote on the shelter website that I dedicate the film "Black Snow" and any success it has to the people of Germany, the city of Essen and my neighbours who accepted me and my daughter as family.

Germany gave us protection. If I had not been granted a humanitarian visa, I don't know what would have happened to me and my family.

This is also part of my life after Russia. Not just publications about me, but also people who helped me survive, continue to work and do something for others again.

12. What repetition did to reality

When I look at the complete corpus today, I see more than one lie and more than one particularly cruel article.

There are 644 posts saved in the full WordPress archive. Researchers reviewed 101 posts found by searching my name. Sixty entered the core corpus: in 42 I was the direct central subject, while 18 contained a substantial standalone section about me. Another 41 episodic mentions remained outside the main body.

Sixty publications do not prove a single actor behind the entire corpus or a single coordinating centre. They show something else: for more than two years my identity remained a persistent editorial subject on one platform, and then the same narratives continued on Telegram.

Mental health, alcohol, sexuality, family, children, money, fraud, foreign interests, intrusiveness and danger were repeated. Old accusations became the background for new events.

If I was dealing with school, the reader was reminded of my family and mental health. If we were talking about Loginova's flat, sexual motives and a lost civil case appeared. If I was threatened, my need for attention was discussed. If I left, the real flight was turned into evidence of a staging. If I created a shelter, it was declared abandoned. If a film came out, foreign money and hostility towards Russia appeared again.

Thus, one cumulative image was created from different events.

This image had a convenient feature: it explained in advance any subsequent fact. I no longer needed to do anything specific. It was enough to appear in a new story, and the previous characteristics began to work automatically.

The real problem receded. The journalist's personality took centre stage. Then the journalist's source was discredited. Then the meaning of an official document was stretched beyond its literal scope. The previous post was repeated, sometimes with the same image, and the new post seemed like another confirmation.

In a small town, this version does not remain a collection of pages. It enters into conversations, relationships with colleagues and relatives, into the reaction of an official and a policeman, into the way a person looks at you on the street. It returns home.

I can't measure which article ruined which part of my life. My relationships with loved ones are more complex than one website. Each person had their own decisions and responsibilities. But I know the sequence that I lived: publications included family; links and retellings came to loved ones; in the surviving conversation, public discussion has already become an argument; the house no longer felt safe.

I also know something else: inner experience does not need the permission of an official document.

If I say I was afraid, this is my evidence of fear. If I say that I perceived my husband's change as a betrayal, this is part of my life. If I say that I left because I no longer felt protected, the ticket confirms the flight, but it cannot grant or deny me the right to name my own motive.

13. What can I say today

I have not established that a single actor was behind the entire corpus.

I can't name one person who controlled all the sites. Documented references, reused images and transfers show the movement of materials, but do not automatically establish common editorial control. I cannot say that the police transmitted my correspondence "Bez Tsenzury". I don't know who physically controlled the account that threatened me with public burning, or whether the nine people named by the account even existed.

These unknowns are not the bottom line.

Today I can say much more precisely what is known.

I really dealt with socially significant issues: housing for vulnerable people, a school with cracks and metal ties, the ecology of a coal town, a maternity hospital, labour rights, appeals from the elderly and conflicts in which people felt that state institutions did not hear them.

I really lost the civil case to Reutov. And there was a separate story of Loginova, in which the inspection materials were lost, decisions were cancelled and a criminal case was initiated in 2020.

I really could have been wrong and formulated conclusions more sharply than the collected documents allowed. This does not turn all the problems I dealt with into my fantasy.

"Bez Tsenzury" and its associated public platforms have indeed repeated psychiatric, alcohol-related, sexualised, family-related, financial and foreign-linked characterisations about me for years. These documents and facts were used to draw conclusions that were broader than their content. Old publications became sources for new ones.

I was in fact threatened with being burned in public. Four days later, the resource that knew about the threat used it to again discuss my mental health and alleged self-provocation.

The publications did in fact concern my husband, children and other people close to me. An audio recording of a family conversation has been preserved, in which discussion of my family on social media has already become part of a domestic conflict.

After my departure, real information about the flight, life in Georgia, the shelter and the film continued to be included in the established image. The sites changed, but the frame remained recognizable.

I don't need to end this story with a winning conclusion. I did not prove a conspiracy. I did not uncover a single network. I did not identify all the authors or those who may have commissioned the publications.

The result is different.

Now you can put side by side what happened, what I did, what was published, what the documents confirm, and what remains my testimony. One can see not only individual insults, but also the way in which a real event was transformed into another public narrative.

Russia did not end for me the day I crossed the border.

I still sometimes brace for the next blow. Sometimes I catch myself afraid that some vast state machine will again decide that I am the problem, and I will have to endlessly prove the obvious. This is not an indictment of Germany or a prediction of the future. This is me after Russia.

I carry on living and working. I remain involved with the shelter, the film and new projects. I may go for a long time without thinking about or reading these sites.

But this story periodically comes back to me - through someone else's link, a message from a friend, a new publication about what I have done in another country.

Therefore, for me it is not over yet.

Evidence base

The first part allows you to read the story sequentially. The second is designed to check sources, chronology, classification, technical integrity and evidentiary limitations.

How to read evidence

Status refers to a specific statement, not an entire person, document, or case.

Label	What does it mean	What it doesn't mean
DOCUMENT / VERIFIED PRIMARY	A primary document, saved publication, screenshot or technical download directly confirms a narrowly formulated fact.	Does not make all words within a document or publication true.
VERIFIED MULTI-SOURCE	One limited fact is supported by several truly independent sources.	Reprinting one text does not become a new confirmation.
VERIFIED SECONDARY	The fact is supported by an external secondary source, but primary material is not available.	Not equivalent to a primary document from the event.
NATALIA TESTIMONY	Natalia reports what she experienced, saw, spoke or wrote as a participant in the events.	Does not automatically become an external established fact; the absence of a copy does not invalidate the testimony itself.
OTHER TESTIMONY	Report from another participant or eyewitness.	Without a separate source, it is not independent documentary evidence.
ANALYSIS / INDICIA / INFERENCE	An explicitly stated conclusion from a comparison of established data.	Should not be read as direct fact or proven causation.
LOST / NOT RECOVERED	A register or testimony indicates that the material existed, but no copy has been recovered in the current archive.	Doesn't mean the event didn't happen.
UNKNOWN / CONTRADICTION	There is insufficient data or sources differ.	Doesn't mean one version is automatically false.

Additional provenance marks:

- **VERIFIED SOURCE** — a specific public occasion or source material has been confirmed, but not all conclusions from it;
- **CLAIMED SOURCE – UNVERIFIED** — the site names the source, but an independent chain of origin has not been restored;
- **SOURCE UNKNOWN** — the basis for the assertion could not be established;
- **MIXED** — the publication connects components of different origins, which are checked separately;
- **TEMPORAL ASSOCIATION ONLY** — proximity in time has been established, but not transmission or causation.


How to read an official document

The official status of a paper does not turn every phrase retold in it into an established fact. For each act there are four questions:

1. what the document directly establishes;
2. what it reports from the participants’ accounts;
3. what legal issue does it resolve;
4. what remains beyond the decision.

Scale and boundaries of the case

The basis of the quantitative analysis was the public archive of the WordPress site “Bez Tsenzury” as captured on 29 September 2026. The two official WordPress.com endpoints returned the same set of 644 unique post IDs. For each publication, its HTML was saved and its SHA-256 hash recorded.



Existence of publications, their IDs, dates and texts - **VERIFIED PRIMARY**. Division **DIRECT / CO-PRIMARY / excluded** - exploratory coding, that is **INDICIA / INFERENCE**.

Year	Publications in the entire WordPress corpus
2017	41
2018	19
2019	52

Year	Publications in the entire WordPress corpus
2020	321
2021	172
2022	39
Total	644

The full corpus contains 3,300 occurrences of outbound links and 1,302 unique URLs. Of these, 2,338 occurrences lead to `bezcenxury.wordpress.com`. This shows intense self-citation, but not the truth of the statements being repeated.

The core corpus documents a persistent editorial story about Natalia. It does not prove a single actor behind the entire corpus, a single operator across the sites or the identity of the person behind the account `bezcenxury`.

Applications

Registers, tables, provenance, hashes and evidentiary limits for re-verification.

Appendix A. Register of 60 major publications

Marking **DIRECT** / **CO-PRIMARY** is exploratory coding. The existence of publications, dates, IDs and texts are confirmed by the preserved corpus.

The “item/markers” column shows conservative manual markings from [507], without recognizing the statements as true. Full URLs, lists of files and documents shown are located in the individual card of each publication in [507]; primary HTML - `research/bez-cenzury/raw/html/posts/{ID}.html`, its SHA-256 is in [505].

Date	ID	Heading	Role	Subject/markers of analysis	External hrefs in a saved post	Origin of material
11 November 2019	94 4	Voice of the people	DIRECT	Ecology, profession, family, foreign journalist	—	CLAIMED SOURCE – UNVERIFIED
16 December 2019	10 60	A Kiselevsk Rally: PR or Disgrace?	DIRECT	City meeting, maternity hospital, the role of Natalia	LJ	SOURCE UNKNOWN
30 January 2020	11 73	Kuzbass Opposition Figures Set Citizens Up for Charges and Fines	CO-PRIMARY	Tuzovskaya's story, fine, Natalia's participation	VK, LJ	CLAIMED SOURCE – UNVERIFIED
5 February 2020	11 80	Kuzbass oppositionists are exposing citizens to articles and fines 2 or Zubkova's exposure	DIRECT	Fundraising, child's funeral, family	OK, kiselevsknews, LJ	CLAIMED SOURCE – UNVERIFIED
17 February 2020	12 22	A Guilty Conscience Betrays Itself, or Zubkova's Agony	DIRECT	Response to public actions, ecology, family	LJ, Telegram	MIXED
16 March 2020	14 12	Natalia Zubkova: in environmental protection, as in war, all means are good	DIRECT	Ecology, relations between activists	LJ	SOURCE UNKNOWN
23 April 2020	16 43	Where does the camp go in the spring or the telephone terrorist	DIRECT	Telephone calls, psychiatric framing	LJ	SOURCE UNKNOWN
7 May 2020	17 24	The split of the Kuzbass partisans	CO-PRIMARY	Activist conflict, family	LJ	CLAIMED SOURCE – UNVERIFIED
8 May 2020	17 40	Eco-activist Natalia Zubkova threatens hunger strike	DIRECT	Hunger strike, ecology, relationships, family	LJ	MIXED
14 May 2020	18 16	Aza Zubkova tells everyone to fuck off...	DIRECT	Alleged second VK profile	LJ	CLAIMED SOURCE – UNVERIFIED
17 June 2020	20 83	Natalia Zubkova is promoting criminality???	DIRECT	Protester support	—	SOURCE UNKNOWN

Date	ID	Heading	Role	Subject/markers of analysis	External hrefs in a saved post	Origin of material
18 June 2020	2105	Kuzbass opposition hypes Cheremze	CO-PRIMARY	Cheremza, ecology, family	Telegram	SOURCE UNKNOWN
26 June 2020	2172	Hype Around Ecology. Who is speculating on Cheremze?	CO-PRIMARY	Cheremza, alcohol, private life	—	MIXED
18 August 2020	2737	Cheremza Residents Make the Same Mistake Again	CO-PRIMARY	Cheremzin conflict	Telegram (2)	SOURCE UNKNOWN
21 August 2020	2759	An Assassination Attempt Is Being Prepared Against Zubkova	DIRECT	Threat and reaction; psychiatric frame	Telegram	MIXED : Primary threat series restored separately [S25] ; the channel through which the resource learned about it - SOURCE UNKNOWN
25 August 2020	2810	Another hype from Kiselev journalist Natalia Zubkova	DIRECT	Detention of Krechetov, police	Telegram (2), election commission	MIXED
25 August 2020	2822	The main intriguers and provocateurs of Kuzbass	CO-PRIMARY	Activist Profiles	Telegram	SOURCE UNKNOWN
26 August 2020	2855	What is the Motherland worth today?	CO-PRIMARY	National/foreign policy, money	Telegram, YouTube (2)	MIXED
27 August 2020	2896	Has Kiselevsk Resident Natalia Zubkova Completely Lost Her Mind?	DIRECT	Psychiatization, alcohol, family	—	SOURCE UNKNOWN
7 September 2020	3004	The infamous journalist is eager to become a deputy	DIRECT	Elections, ecology, private life	Telegram (2)	MIXED
10 September 2020	3039	Natalia Zubkova Is a Candidate for Deputy with a Whiff of Criminality!	DIRECT	Elections, threats, legal materials	seuslab.ru	MIXED
14 September 2020	3093	Zubkova is haunted by “unforeseen” difficulties over and over again	DIRECT	Signatures and election documents	Telegram	SOURCE UNKNOWN
17 September 2020	3160	When will Zubkova answer for the chaos committed in Cheremze?	DIRECT	Cheremza, police, documents	Telegram (5)	SOURCE UNKNOWN
23 September 2020	3268	Zubkova's Tour of Prokopyevsk Took an Unexpected Turn	DIRECT	Election campaign and signatures	Telegram	MIXED

Date	ID	Heading	Role	Subject/markers of analysis	External hrefs in a saved post	Origin of material
29 September 2020	34 08	The machinations of Natalia Zubkova or instructions on how to get away with it	DIRECT	Cheremza, check/trial	Telegram (4)	SOURCE UNKNOWN
3 October 2020	35 20	The price of hype or the cry of the Kiselevsk environmental activist	DIRECT	Video about pressure, surveillance, threats	Telegram	MIXED
8 October 2020	35 52	Zubkova Went Looking for Hype and Found Hate	DIRECT	Picket after Slavina's death; psychiatric framing	—	MIXED
19 October 2020	37 23	Hunger strike, then self-immolation, a journalist from Kiselevsk needs medical help	DIRECT	Hunger strike, medical assistance	—	MIXED
27 October 2020	38 39	Natalia Zubkova was not allowed to participate in the elections	DIRECT	Refusal of registration, family	—	MIXED
30 October 2020	39 13	Full Moon or a Schizophrenic Episode?	DIRECT	Shop, police, psychiatric framing	—	SOURCE UNKNOWN
5 November 2020	39 95	Everything fell into place	CO-PRIMARY	Telephone, resolution of the Ministry of Internal Affairs, Chursina	VK	CLAIMED SOURCE — UNVERIFIED
25 November 2020	43 31	Zubkova's contribution	DIRECT	Novokuznetsk protest, threats, psychiatric framing	—	MIXED
30 December 2020	46 67	We begin to sum up the results of 2020 among the Kuzbass opposition	CO-PRIMARY	Annual compilation	Telegram	SOURCE UNKNOWN
2 January 2021	47 07	Puppet rally in Kuzbass, organised by alcoholic journalists	CO-PRIMARY	Virtual event, alcohol	Telegram (3)	SOURCE UNKNOWN
3 January 2021	47 25	Natalia Grinch-Zubkova steals the holiday from Kiselev residents	DIRECT	Parody, urban decoration	Telegram	SOURCE UNKNOWN
4 January 2021	47 36	You Can't Drink Away Your True Nature, or Natalia Zubkova Exposed	DIRECT	Attribution of the “puppet” action	Telegram (3), 1 incorrect href	SOURCE UNKNOWN
9 January 2021	47 96	A Guilty Conscience Betrays Itself, or Who Is Afraid of the New Legislation?	DIRECT	Libel law, pressure interview	—	MIXED
13 January 2021	48 40	Andrey Karaulov stood up for the swindler from Kuzbass	DIRECT	Appeal to Karaulov, ecology, threats	YouTube, Telegram	MIXED

Date	ID	Heading	Role	Subject/markers of analysis	External hrefs in a saved post	Origin of material
17 January 2021	4858	Moscow oppositionists visited Kuzbass	CO-PRIMARY	Arrival of journalists/activists	—	MIXED
9 February 2021	5044	Mother's mad love: good or bad?	DIRECT	Son and military unit	—	MIXED
22 February 2021	5161	A Guilty Conscience Betrays Itself, or the Reason for Zubkova's Latest Agony	DIRECT	Searches/detentions of acquaintances	Telegram (3)	CLAIMED SOURCE – UNVERIFIED
27 February 2021	5191	A Springtime Flare-Up Among the Opposition in Southern Kuzbass	CO-PRIMARY	Collective profile, ecology, money	Telegram	MIXED
2 March 2021	5219	Natalia Zubkova has found a new source of enrichment	DIRECT	Assault/threats, departure, donations	—	MIXED
3 March 2021	5250	Journalism of the 21st century: nothing personal, just money	CO-PRIMARY	Kashkina's defense, attack, gathering	—	MIXED
9 March 2021	5281	Lying as a way to get rich?	DIRECT	SOTA Interview and Donations	—	MIXED
16 March 2021	5355	How the fifth column fights for its right to betray the homeland	CO-PRIMARY	Foreign media, money	Telegram (7)	MIXED
22 March 2021	5376	Zubkova Has Hit Rock Bottom	DIRECT	Karpov/Chursin, legal materials	VK, court, Telegram	MIXED
3 May 2021	5459	Zubkova Blew a Fuse!	DIRECT	Conflict with the newspaper; psychiatric framing	—	SOURCE IDENTIFIED only for public occasion
7 May 2021	5471	Picket in a foreign land or what Natalia Zubkova is doing in Georgia	DIRECT	Departure, picket, money	Telegram	MIXED
8 May 2021	5475	Continuation of Natalia Zubkova's tour	DIRECT	Georgia, RBC/FBK	RBC, Telegram (2)	MIXED
15 June 2021	5703	The Germans, disguised as tourists, visited Kiselevsk	CO-PRIMARY	Foreign film crew, ecology	—	MIXED
25 June 2021	5757	Natalia Zubkova: "How bad it is to live in Kuzbass!"	DIRECT	Video from Batumi, environmental comparison	—	MIXED
16 July 2021	5816	Natalia Zubkova: I Lie as Easily as I Breathe — and I Breathe Often	DIRECT	Police, prosecutor's office, pensioner's case	Telegram (6)	CLAIMED SOURCE – UNVERIFIED

Date	ID	Heading	Role	Subject/markers of analysis	External hrefs in a saved post	Origin of material
21 July 2021	58 53	Natalia Zubkova: “Money doesn't smell!”	DIRECT	Media project, financing	Telegram, grants.gov	MIXED
9 August 2021	59 76	Natalia Zubkovidze forms a circle of feminists	DIRECT	Personal and family life	—	SOURCE UNKNOWN
5 October 2021	64 00	Natalia Zubkova attacks men	DIRECT	Relationships, men, correspondence	Telegram	SOURCE UNKNOWN
3 November 2021	64 95	From ex-activist of Navalny's headquarters* to Georgian businesswoman	CO-PRIMARY	Kashkina; independent block about Natalia	Telegram (2)	MIXED
30 December 2021	66 39	2021: results	CO-PRIMARY	Annual compilation, family	Telegram (5)	SOURCE UNKNOWN
24 January 2022	67 27	Natalia Zubkova: If You Don't Praise Yourself, No One Else Will	DIRECT	Video about life in Georgia	Telegram (3)	MIXED
15 April 2022	69 49	A second criminal case has been opened in Kuzbass for disseminating deliberately false information about the use of the Russian Armed Forces	CO-PRIMARY	Shumekov case; attributed influence of Natalia	Telegram (12)	SOURCE UNKNOWN

Appendix B. Psychiatric line

IV.1.2. Twelve families of statements

Code	Contents of the published statement	First appearance	Replays	Base and limit
MH-01	"Spring exacerbation" and behaviour as a sign of ill health	№1643, 23 April 2020	1740, 1816, 5459; generalization 6639	Medical source not named: SOURCE UNKNOWN .
MH-02	Alcohol/hangover supposedly explains aggression, calls, decisions or appearance	№1643	1740, 1816, 2172, 2896, 3268, 3552, 3913, 4331, 4667, 4840, 5816, 5853, 6400	Links to unnamed neighbours without testimony: CLAIMED SOURCE – UNVERIFIED ; later - repetitions/interpretations.
MH-03	"The townspeople have long considered" Natalia to be sick	№1740, 8 May 2020	There is no independent confirmed sequel	Random selection of comments without identified authors/URLs: CLAIMED SOURCE – UNVERIFIED .
MH-04	Public hunger strike is used as a characteristic of the state	№1740	3723; mention in 4707 without new medical thesis	The fact of the public statement - VERIFIED PRIMARY ; medical conclusion from it - SOURCE UNKNOWN .
MH-05	Natalia allegedly started writing on the advice of a psychologist	№2759, 21 August 2020	Direct replay not found	Original statement/recording of consultation not shown: CLAIMED SOURCE – UNVERIFIED .
MH-06	"Mental illness", "persecution mania"	№2759	3552, 3913; motive 5459	Doctor/examination/act not named: SOURCE UNKNOWN .
MH-07	Hints or threats of suicide/self-immolation attributed to Natalia and deleted recordings	№2759	3552, 3723, 4331	The complete primary material on which this particular characterization of Natalia is based has not been preserved: SOURCE UNKNOWN . The restored threat to burn Natalia, sent by another profile, is a separate object [525] and does not confirm the intention attributed to it. The editorial proposal for self-immolation in No. 3723 also does not prove Natalia's intentions.
MH-08	"Signs of schizophrenia", then "schizophrenia attack"	№3552, 8 October 2020	3913, 4725, 4796	Inference from behaviour, photos, audio and screenshots; no clinical source: SOURCE UNKNOWN .
MH-09	"Orphans", psychiatrist, treatment, institution or isolation	№3552	3723, 3913, 3995, 4725, 5459, 5816, 6639	Editorial/cited recommendations, not physician's opinion: SOURCE UNKNOWN .
MH-10	Natalia is allegedly dangerous to others	№3913, 30 October 2020	There is no direct separate repetition	Author's interpretation of the incident and messages: SOURCE UNKNOWN .
MH-11	The page/bookmark about schizophrenia supposedly means self-diagnosis	№3913	4725; link from 4796	The context, device and person who made the query are not established; the presence of the page does not confirm the diagnosis: SOURCE UNKNOWN .
MH-12	"Attacks of nymphomania" enhanced by wine	№6400, 5 October 2021	No repetitions were found in the corpus after it	Diagnostic-like conclusion from stories/correspondence, the origin of some of which is not established: SOURCE UNKNOWN .

IV.1.3. Self-citation as an accumulation mechanism

Later publication	What previous publications does it rely on?	What appears instead of a new medical source
№3552	№1740, №1816, №2759, №2896	Previous statements and images are reduced to a diagnostic-like frame.
№3913	№1816, №2896, №3723	Added screenshots, correspondence and audio, but not the medical document.
№4331	№3552, №3723, №3913	The three previous materials become the basis of a new characteristic.
№4725	№3913	The parody plot returns the psychiatric frame.
№4796	№3913	The new social and legal reason is read through the previous material.
№5459	№1816	The spring conflict is described as another “escalation.”
№4667, №6639	own archive	Yearly compilations re-establish previous formulas.

An established fact is the presence of links and repetitions. The conclusion is that this creates a pseudo-confirmation effect - **INDICIA / INFERENCE** : The reader encounters a familiar formula in many texts, although no independent medical source appears.

IV.1.4. Registry of 21 primary HTML entries

Date	ID	Local HTML	SHA-256	Families
23 April 2020	1643	raw/html/posts/1643.html	fab7efb4ac385d34b4bfd37ff5174cde1d3c73d92b495c9c822bd8fdca593c9d	MH-01, MH-02
8 May 2020	1740	raw/html/posts/1740.html	5d7bad71f02f9817365734646d588224e45c815341143467c3b7a1d729b5cccf	MH-01–MH-04
14 May 2020	1816	raw/html/posts/1816.html	c97ea5ec6c25c7308a80daede2011c6a9b82b30c58c0d7a33472abdee672032f	MH-01, MH-02
26 June 2020	2172	raw/html/posts/2172.html	ac06dad7edf05d731193ffcada566c68d54bae0c1aafd890f0dabfebbca72a03	MH-02
21 August 2020	2759	raw/html/posts/2759.html	168ab9bfd62fc73f56c155a44567ac2b728141cf05308306872989375fd6a4e9	MH-05–MH-07
27 August 2020	2896	raw/html/posts/2896.html	a1af881b70ede3224abd5d5ee4523ae36f226ac76cd2cc6db9cf6d7305b241f7	MH-02
23 September 2020	3268	raw/html/posts/3268.html	320c8935cdc46bdd3c6cad4323cd2c0cbce8134f53e874639c7f13b30c2154e5	MH-02
8 October 2020	3552	raw/html/posts/3552.html	d93be625c425c896789ff4356d7abeaee178712cf5c7588640c339e28777b5d0	MH-02, MH-06–MH-09
19 October 2020	3723	raw/html/posts/3723.html	e0e907b919325788415d1958d0f6fca04b9046b591f359d6e84dffa3f6cb7db	MH-04, MH-07, MH-09
30 October 2020	3913	raw/html/posts/3913.html	920377b3a791b771771c3459ed34a2fa17a1e72a82c9bad9304a31767e3e12d7	MH-02, MH-06, MH-08–MH-11

Date	ID	Local HTML	SHA-256	Families
5 November 2020	3995	raw/html/posts/3995.html	5d9e6dce5bd15aa0f190581c01980485f88b9bcc5beb3bfac105c40cb110b23c	MH-09
25 November 2020	4331	raw/html/posts/4331.html	142382f0d5cf9edb37605cab68c0d211628160d52ff27b4fc96feb9db35010ed	MH-02, MH-07, MH-09
30 December 2020	4667	raw/html/posts/4667.html	a821f5ee70e64de92637cb469e686ef7b2fa8b36c4955afc5e11f3b262b04309	MH-02
3 January 2021	4725	raw/html/posts/4725.html	cf9e7d05385a9b6f6e1cf30e8579c3676ddd670675558a3b85f2655665cfd7e	MH-08, MH-09, MH-11
9 January 2021	4796	raw/html/posts/4796.html	d0bac314864fd8cc5302fbc6af2d2e3c9bd7c867fc5b38691a3a3404d297e0aa	MH-08, link to MH-11
13 January 2021	4840	raw/html/posts/4840.html	085986f6bf6e27fab4fac68c85562ca29b0d40f3994ca265e876400a97de6cf6	MH-02
3 May 2021	5459	raw/html/posts/5459.html	79af0d4b2f22b1088641de3ff6c524e5d131e1e9ca552eb07fc009e568319d71	MH-01, MH-06, MH-09
16 July 2021	5816	raw/html/posts/5816.html	1f64bf16cd268e13e55069c87aa0cf0618a370ef0b269d066fd28f6f8834c8f5	MH-02, MH-09
21 July 2021	5853	raw/html/posts/5853.html	b2c9350e16fa457c1bb803807a1dd01838894fb608c8132e9b950d0bd442f04e	MH-02
5 October 2021	6400	raw/html/posts/6400.html	9c351a40f48a324ce3857cf08db3bb6b8f0d70ba2a1174e4b25e833981d70748	MH-02, MH-12
30 December 2021	6639	raw/html/posts/6639.html	a6b102870a0d9377bf414ee9f2d03d8eb1cced51a7c895485adb214f7d084c86	MH-01, MH-09

Full citations and source cards are in [s08]. In the public version, only the minimum necessary citations should be cited and accompanied by an analysis of the origin.

Appendix C. Cross-platform chronology

V. Cross-platform diffusion

V.1. WordPress / "Bez Tsenzury"

WordPress is the most completely preserved platform in the research archive: 644 publications, 60 main texts about Natalia, 41 excluded occasional mentions, 2,338 occurrences of internal links. The platform simultaneously published its own texts, referred to its own previous materials and directed the reader to Telegram, VK, LiveJournal, Blogger and OK.

The transfer direction can only be established if there is a link and a reliable timestamp or a documented text/file comparison. Thematic similarity in itself is only indicated INDICIA / INFERENCE.

V.2. LiveJournal

The complete `posts.json` contains 47 href entries pointing to `nik-pravdorub.livejournal.com`; eight appear in the core corpus of 60. Another link in the core corpus points to `infokemerovo.livejournal.com`. Specific early pairs require individual verification of timestamps.

The key evidentiary caveat concerns No. 1643: WordPress calls LiveJournal `nik-pravdorub/10783` "source", but the stored metadata dates WordPress approximately 6 hours 53 minutes 33 seconds before LiveJournal. That's why LJ is here - CLAIMED SOURCE – UNVERIFIED, not EARLIEST OBSERVED SOURCE. This discrepancy does not identify the original author and allows for changes in dates/entries. Source [s20].

Police decision dated 27 March 2020 records browsing of WordPress and `nik-pravdorub.livejournal.com`, but does not identify account owners or confirm the return transfer of materials to sites. Existence of link - VERIFIED PRIMARY; shared operator - CONTRADICTORY / UNKNOWN.

V.3. Blogger

Corrected factual structure [s10]:

Finding	Value
Separate Blogspot URLs/blog IDs in one public profile	31
Public records	31, one per blog
Generic author URI	<code>http://www.blogger.com/profile/12533056504748407669</code>
Generic normalized template-skin SHA-256	<code>35534e13b5ba14b0a590b7074a4c5cdfef121f256c48f4c34c7da354ebcf5e4</code>
Blogs linking to at least one blog in the same list	20 of 31
Unique directed internal links	41
Blogs with incoming links from WordPress	16
WordPress posts with these links	18
Links directly labeled as "source" by WordPress	6

Finding	Value
Pairs with substantial or near-complete text overlap	6

Additional limits:

- all feed posts show the author `Anonymous` and one profile URI, but the public page does not reveal the history of roles and administrators;
- the dates displayed are between 30 January 2017 and 30 October 2018, whereas the profile reports on `Blogger` since April 2017; therefore, the displayed date without a web archive is not considered the creation date;
- number of confirmed people/operators — `CONTRADICTORY / UNKNOWN`;
- 31 URLs are not equal to 31 independent sources;
- in 2,524 messages `extrmizmKuzbass` no direct links, titles, or near-full text matches were found to the saved pages of 31 blogs. This is a negative result in a limited corpus, not evidence of a lack of historical connection.

V.4. Telegram

`extrmizmKuzbass`

Corpus: 2,524 unique messages, 143 saved pages. Three confirmed chains:

Chain	Time	Communication type	What does it prove?	What it doesn't prove
Telegram №7 → WordPress №1277	20 February 2020; interval 00:12:53 (12 minutes 53 seconds)	Almost complete text transfer; WordPress directly names the source	Quickly use a specific message	Common channel author/operator
Telegram №10 → WordPress №1299	22 February 2020; interval 2:47:10	Direct link, not a full reprint	Public reading and link	Transfer of closed materials
Telegram №127 → WordPress №1837 → Telegram №131	15–18 May 2020	Mutual direct links	Two-way public movement of a specific plot	Unified edition of all platforms

SheglovkaNews

In the full WordPress corpus - 478 href entries `SheglovkaNews` out of 202 WordPress publications (202 recorded in `[s06]`; 478 recalculated according to `[s02]`). These are 272 unique URL strings, taking into account the channel root, and 271 unique message IDs. There are 271 HTML files of these IDs in the local folder, representing 211 unique SHA-256; the available message text in them has not been restored. The public WordPress page associated itself with the Telegram channel, and the directory recorded the matching public email. This confirms a public presentational and editorial connection at the level of site representation. Individual and exact number of operators - `CONTRADICTORY / UNKNOWN`. A complete comparison of transport directions has not been made.

V.5. VK

The two clearest chains are:

- Phone / Chursina:** KUSP No. 3995 of 28 October 2020 and resolution of 30 October 2020 `[E07]` → copy/publication VK no later than 4 November 2020 → WordPress No. 3995 dated 5 November 2020. The document records several stated versions, which agree that the phone left Natalia's hands, was moved and then returned; the procedural finding concerns the absence of established intent to steal. WordPress expands the narrow procedural conclusion to the “everything falls into place” formula. Existence of sequence - `VERIFIED PRIMARY`; conclusion about the change in meaning - `INDICIA / INFERENCE`; file transfer channel to VK - `CONTRADICTORY / UNKNOWN`.
- Karpova / Chursina:** interview with Natalia and Karpova 5 March 2021 → response VK article 14 March 2021 → WordPress No. 5376 dated 22 March 2021 with direct link. This proves the movement of public material and the strengthening of the counter-version, but not a single author or customer. Sources `[s09]`, `[s13]`, `[s14]`.

VK also stores comments from participants and copies of official documents. Each such file is analysed separately: the public availability of a document does not mean that the way it is interpreted is correct.

V.6. OK / “Kiselevsk News Review”

Primary response Mail.ru `679a924e-15f2-423e-9740-93d4d42261ad.jpg` identifies the `https://ok.ru/obzornov` group as “Review of Kiselevsk News”, provides its ID and creation date, identifies a specific OK account with the exact term **"Group Administrator"** and links it to a telephone number. A police report dated 26 December 2020 `47c31b12-a2b7-4c10-aab2-9fecc08b4465.jpg` reports that Maria Aleksandrovna Ulyanova uses the same number. The matching normalised telephone number links Maria Ulyanova to the specified administrator account: `VERIFIED PRIMARY / CROSS-DOCUMENT IDENTIFIER MATCH`. This does not establish that she was the sole administrator, owner or creator of the group, nor does it attribute every post to her. Primary reconciliation: `POST-HANDOVER-ULYANOVA-OK-PRIMARY-RECHECK.md`; Natalia's testimony about the role of Anna Chursina is preserved as `NATALIA TESTIMONY`.

There is no readable saved copy of a specific publication by the group itself in the accessible archive ok.ru/obzornov about Natalia. Related traces have been preserved: VK material “News Review” dated 14 March 2021, three closed OK video links without an identified author and without proven group affiliation, as well as WordPress link No. 5376 to VK material. They cannot be renamed into publications of the OK group itself. The complete archive of the group's posts and comments has not been restored. That's why:

- the existence of the group and the connection of Maria Ulyanova with the specified administrator account - VERIFIED PRIMARY / CROSS-DOCUMENT IDENTIFIER MATCH ;
- The Facebook Messenger message is a separate evidentiary object and is not considered a publication in OK;
- the authorship of each publication, the number of administrators and the connection of closed videos with the group - CONTRADICTIONARY / UNKNOWN ;
- lost feed and comments - LOST / NOT RECOVERED .

V.7. General distribution matrix

Subject/material	Starting point	WordPress	Telegram	Blogger / LJ	VK	OK	Accurate confirmed connection	Unknown
School No. 24 / phone	School appeals; separately the conflict with the telephone and the act of the Ministry of Internal Affairs	3913, 3995, 4796, 4840, 5376, 5816	No direct school route has been established	Early related texts; the route is incomplete	The telephone report was published up to No. 3995	Urban controversy	VK ≤4 November 2020 → WP 5 November 2020 for a specific act	How the full school population moved between sites
Loginova / Reutov	Documents, picket, procedural history	3004, 5816, 6400 and previous texts	Individual links require component-by-component verification	Repeated stories	Comments by Reutov/Parfenov	The archive is incomplete	Publications record changes in motivation after the initiation of the case	The complete route of each formula and the outcome of the criminal case
Karpova / Chursina / Gorbunov	Video 5 March 2021	№5376, 22 March 2021	Specific route not found	Not established	Reply 14 March 2021	Three previous videos are closed	YouTube 05.03 → VK 14.03 → WP 22.03	Operators and internal transmission channel
Telephone / resolution	Decision of the Ministry of Internal Affairs 30 October 2020	№3995, 5 November 2020	Not established	Not central	No later than 4 November 2020	Urban context	Dated sequence and direct use of the document	Who gave the copy and how?
extrmizmKuzbass №7	Telegram 20 February 2020	No. 1277 after 00:12:53 (12 minutes 53 seconds)	Original Observed Record	There are no matches with 31 Blogger pages	—	—	Direct attribution and almost full text transfer	Operator communications
extrmizmKuzbass №10	Telegram 22 February 2020	No. 1299 in 2:47:10	Original Observable Link	—	—	—	Direct link	Closed coordination not established
№127 / №1837 / №131	Telegram 15 May 2020	№1837, 17 May 2020	Backlink No. 131, 18 May 2020	—	—	—	Two-way public chain	General operator
Blogger profile	31 single-post blogs	18 WP publications link to 16 blogs	Direct match with extrmizm not found	41 internal links; 6 source-linked carry pairs	—	—	Specific href and text pairs	People/editors/administrators; exact date of most first appearances
Psychiatric line	No. 1643 and early publications	21 publications; 15 without new source	No separate independent medical basis found	Eight LJ links within the main 60, origin checked in pairs	Selected quotes/screenshots	Urban context is incomplete	Internal links 3552→previous, 3913→previous, 4331→previous	Primary medical source missing from verified corpus

Separate counts by platform

Platform/Set	URL	Publications/Posts	Unique saved files	Independent primary sources	Confirmed operators
WordPress "Bez Tsenzury"	644 public post URL + 3 pages	644 posts	644 HTML; 644 unique post IDs	For statements - count on each card; not 644 automatically	1 public account; individual UNKNOWN
Core corpus about Natalia	60 post URL	60	60 HTML	Variable number; many texts are self-quoting	Same public account; face UNKNOWN
extrmizmKuzbass	143 saved page URLs in manifest	2,524 unique messages	143 saved HTML pages; 143 unique SHA-256 [S18]	Specific source messages are set in pairs	Exact administrator UNKNOWN
SheglovkaNews in WP	478 href entries; 272 unique URL strings based on the channel root	202 WP publications contain links; 271 unique message IDs	271 HTML files; 211 unique SHA-256; Available message text is not restored	UNKNOWN	Public project communication; Human UNKNOWN
Blogger profile	31	31	31 current pages/feed; control [S16]	Not 31; six carry pairs are not independent confirmations	Number of people/operators UNKNOWN
LiveJournal nik-pravdorub in WP	47 hrefs recognized	The number of WP posts requires a separate normalized recalculation; mostly 60 – 8	Not all pages are fully saved	Determined for each pair	UNKNOWN
VK	UNKNOWN for full corpus	UNKNOWN	Individual pages/screenshots saved	For each card	The authors of some materials are partially known; shared operator is not applicable
OK / "Review..."	Complete corpus LOST / NOT RECOVERED	LOST / NOT RECOVERED	Separate screenshots/answers/report	For each card	For a specific episode, partial attribution; the whole array UNKNOWN

The main evidentiary conclusion of section V: specific routes of public movement of materials, recurring motifs and self-citation are confirmed. A single operator for all sites, a common control centre, or the independence of each copy have not been established.

Appendix D. Declared state and law enforcement sources

VII.9. Claimed government and law enforcement sources

Method and totals

[523] encodes not the truth of published information, but **claimed or established origin of information**. There are three categories:

- 1. **VERIFIED SOURCE** — a specific public official source or public point of origin has been identified; it does not automatically support all of the publication's claims.
- 2. **CLAIMED SOURCE – UNVERIFIED** - the editors state a law enforcement source, “their channels” or another channel, but there is no independent chain.
- 3. **SOURCE UNKNOWN** — the source or route of transmission is not named or not established; The juxtaposition of private material with a police story does not create a proven transfer from an authority.

In the main 60-publication corpus, we identified **17 unique episodes**: 4 **VERIFIED SOURCE**, 6 **CLAIMED SOURCE – UNVERIFIED**, 7 **SOURCE UNKNOWN**. After including three related episodes of the series by Kupriyanov, who published under the pseudonym Foma Neverov, outside the core the tested set is **20 episodes**: 4 **VERIFIED SOURCE**, 9 **CLAIMED SOURCE – UNVERIFIED**, 7 **SOURCE UNKNOWN**.

Key episodes

Episode	What the resource stated or published	What is reproduced	What is not established	Status
Kupriyanov (Foma Neverov), No. 5161, 5173, 5203	No. 5161: “We learned from sources in law enforcement agencies...” about the existence of correspondence; No. 5173 publishes the image the next day, calling the receipt anonymous, and separately attributes the confirmation of the audio recordings to law enforcement agencies; No. 5203 reproduces the accusation of the police for the publication of correspondence.	Dates, texts of publications, images and different stated channels - VERIFIED PRIMARY as the contents of the corpus.	Transfer of a specific image from the police editorial office, source device, sender, access log and technical route.	Law Enforcement Origin - CLAIMED SOURCE – UNVERIFIED ; origin of the image - SOURCE UNKNOWN .
No. 5219, flight / Telegram bot	The resource published a line with personal data about Natalia’s flight and named the source as a Telegram bot and access to databases.	Publication, image, date and route - VERIFIED PRIMARY .	The name and operator of the bot, the original base, the person who made the request, and the receiving route.	CLAIMED SOURCE – UNVERIFIED ; the image is reproduced as a complete source file; the possible publication risk of personal fields is noted separately.
No. 5219, “we made inquiries”	The resource reported that Natalia’s husband allegedly did not contact either the police or the rescue service.	The editorial statement itself - VERIFIED PRIMARY .	The authority’s response, the log of requests, the named source and method of verification.	SOURCE UNKNOWN .

Episode	What the resource stated or published	What is reproduced	What is not established	Status
No. 5471, financial information	After the formula “We know from a source in law enforcement agencies,” the number and size of Alina Simon’s intended transfers to Natalia and Roman Kupriyanov were reported; non-disclosure is explained by the interests of the investigation.	Contents of the publication - VERIFIED PRIMARY .	Bank documents, transfer table, case file, original message and identified employee.	CLAIMED SOURCE – UNVERIFIED .
No. 5816, Loginova / Reutov	“We made inquiries through our channels,” after which information was provided about the progress of the case, the control of the Main Directorate of the Ministry of Internal Affairs and the intended direction of the case to court.	Contents of the publication - VERIFIED PRIMARY .	Official response, source number, specific employee and independent verification of the stated channel.	CLAIMED SOURCE – UNVERIFIED .

Kupriyanov sequence (Foma Neverov)

1. **20 February 2021:** search and seizure of equipment; this confirms possible police access to the devices, but not the transfer of content to the editorial office.
2. **22 February 2021:** No. 5161 states “law enforcement sources” and correspondence; the correspondence itself has not yet been shown.
3. **23 February 2021:** #5173 posts a photo of the screen and calls the receiving channel anonymous; separately states that the audio recordings have been confirmed by law enforcement agencies.
4. **26.02 / 1 March 2021:** a public accusation against the police for publishing the correspondence appears and is then reproduced; no technical confirmation of the transfer was provided. **Final negative result:** not a single proven route was found in the saved corpus a specific non-public item → a specific government or law-enforcement body → transfer to the Bez Tsenzury editorial team. At the same time, it is documented that the site itself has repeatedly stated about law enforcement sources, “its channels” and “inquiries made.” These two conclusions do not contradict each other.

Appendix E. Official documents and their evidentiary limits

These cards record the content and limits of official documents. The methodological example concerning case No. 1-482/2019 was excluded from the public version: the visual file used in Vo.4 related to the material of Karpov / Chursin, and not to the case of N. N. Gavrilov. No further research was undertaken to reconstruct that individual case.

VII. Official documents

VII.1. Card Reading Rule

A document exists ≠ every statement reported in it is established ≠ every related question is resolved ≠ the public interpretation of the document is correct.

VII.2. Telephone: resolution dated 30 October 2020

Field	Card
Date/number	30 October 2020; KUSP No. 3995 dated 28 October 2020.
Authority	OD Department of the Ministry of Internal Affairs of Russia for the city of Kiselevsk.
Signatory	Senior investigator, police major N.V. Gavrilova; approval by the head of the OD, police lieutenant colonel V.F. Odnogulova.
What it directly establishes	The existence of a procedural decision and its motivation; the presence of several stated versions, converging on the phone leaving Natalia's hands, moving and subsequent return.
What it reports from participants or other records	Explanations by Natalia, Chursina and Plotnikov; retelling does not make every detail an established fact.

Field	Card
Legal issue	Availability of grounds for initiating a case under Part 1 of Article 161 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation; intent to steal was not established.
What it does not establish	The legality of the obstruction of the video recording, the admissibility of the seizure itself, the entire physical conflict, the motives of the parties and civil law issues.
Appeal	Not restored in the available set - UNKNOWN .
Public use	VK no later than 4 November 2020 → WordPress No. 3995 5 November 2020; the narrow conclusion is expanded to the general rightness of one side.
Probative limit	[E07] ; KUSP working number is No. 3995. Copy transmission channel - UNKNOWN .

VII.3. Loginova: response from the prosecutor's office dated 12 October 2018

Field	Card
Date/number	12 October 2018, No. 2363zh-2018.
Authority	Prosecutor's office of the city of Kiselevsk.
Signatory	O. A. Grinimaer.
What it directly establishes	The prosecutor's office gave an official response and reported that the checks ended in refusals on 23 September 2015, 29 September 2015 and 23 December 2016; materials from the Ministry of Internal Affairs were lost; their restoration and a new solution were required.
What it reports from participants or other records	The subject of Loginova's statements and information about previous procedural decisions.
Legal issue	Prosecutor's control over the condition of materials and the need to restore verification.
What it does not establish	Reutov's guilt, the factual correctness of all participants, the final outcome and reasons for the loss.
Appeal	Further appeals from 2020 have been confirmed, but a separate chain of appeals specifically against the 2018 response has not been restored.
Public use	Old refusals could be submitted as final results; the document shows that such finality was lacking. The direct route of the scan itself in the publication is not established.
Probative limit	VERIFIED PRIMARY : [E01] , [S22] . Information about the loss - the established content of the response of the prosecutor's office; not a finding of intentional destruction.

VII.4. Loginova: resolution/response of the prosecutor's office May 2020

Field	Card
Date/number	Resolution 14 May 2020; cover letter 17 May 2020; No. 2363zh-2018.
Authority	Prosecutor's office of the city of Kiselevsk.
Signatory	O. A. Grinimaer.
What it directly establishes	At the time of consideration of the complaint, the resolution of the head of the investigation department L.N. Krivoshchekova dated 3 April 2020 had already been cancelled by the head of the investigation department on 16 April 2020, the file was returned for further inquiry, there was no final decision yet.

Field	Card
What it reports from participants or other records	The progress of the review and the content of the appealed procedural decision.
Legal issue	Consideration of a complaint when the decision has already been cancelled.
What it does not establish	Actual guilt, the result of additional verification and the final outcome of the case.
Appeal	The subsequent initiation of the case on 6 July 2020 was confirmed by separate judicial material; The outcome of a further appeal of this prosecutor's response has not been established.
Public use	Shows why the formula "the prosecutor's office refused" without context can hide the reversal of the original decision and the ongoing review.
Probative limit	VERIFIED PRIMARY: [E04] , [S22] .

VII.5. Reutov: judicial material on the complaint to initiate a case

Field	Card
Date/number	Court ruling dated 16 October 2020, known from the investigator's objection and private complaint; private complaint 22 October 2020; case No. 3/12-232/2020. A separate copy of the court order in [E05] not identified.
Authority	Kiselevsk City Court; The set also includes documents from the investigation and the parties to the complaint.
Signatory	In objection - investigator L.A. Nekrasova; in a private complaint - A.I. Reutov. The judge's name is not reproduced in the current research card - UNKNOWN in the accessible research card.
What it directly establishes	A set of procedural documents records the initiation of a case on 6 July 2020 under Part 3 of Article 159 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation, the name of Reutov as an accused in the investigator's objection, and reports the court's refusal on 16 October 2020 to satisfy the complaint against the initiation. The exact motives of the trial and the name of the judge - UNKNOWN to a separate copy of the judicial act.
What it reports from participants or other records	Arguments of the investigation and Reutov; they are not equal to judicially determined guilt.
Legal issue	Legality/justification of initiating a case within the scope of the complaint considered.
What it does not establish	Guilt on the merits, the result of the investigation, the verdict and the relationship with the mentions of Part 2 of Article 158 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation.
Appeal	There is a private complaint dated 22 October 2020; its outcome is not established in the available set.
Public use	No. 3004 and No. 5816 recognized the procedural turn, but retained the negative characterization of Natalia. The document itself does not confirm editorial motives.
Probative limit	[E05] ; [E06] - a byte-by-byte duplicate and not a second source.

VII.6. A27-20471/2020: Supreme Court ruling

Field	Card
Date/number	17 December 2021, No. 304-ES21-23862; lower case A27-20471/2020.
Authority	Supreme Court of the Russian Federation.
Signatory	Judge N.V. Pavlova.

Field	Card
What it directly establishes	Refusal to transfer the cassation appeal to the Judicial Chamber; retells that for the eight contested fragments the claim was satisfied in one case - about "a whole train of criminal cases" - and rejected in seven; records the dates 18 March 2021, 6 June 2021 and 14 September 2021.
What it reports from participants or other records	Findings of the lower courts and the applicant's arguments.
Legal issue	Availability of grounds for transferring the complaint for further consideration to the Supreme Court.
What it does not establish	The truthfulness of all the journalistic work of Natalia and Krechetov; the exact wording of the operative part of the first instance is not fully reproduced in the text of the ruling.
Appeal	Appeal 6 June 2021 and cassation 14 September 2021 are named in the act of the Supreme Court; There is no separate copy of the appeal act included in the set.
Public use	No sufficient basis has been found to assert that "Bez Tsenzury" turned this decision into a general label of Natalia's deceit.
Probative limit	VERIFIED PRIMARY according to the BC definition and Frank's control file; Do not expand partial results to other publications.

VII.7. A27-1854/2021: claim by the open-pit mine and Timur Frank

Field	Card
Date/number	Case A27-1854/2021 registered 4 February 2021 ; date of the final act - UNKNOWN . The disputed material was published on 22 August 2020. The date of registration is not the date of the decision.
Authority	Arbitration Court of the Kemerovo Region - Kuzbass, based on the case number and address of the claim.
Signatory	The claim was signed by E.V. Zhurakovskaya as a representative of both plaintiffs.
What it directly establishes	LLC "Razrez Kuznetsky Yuzhny" and individual entrepreneur Timur Vladimirovich Frank presented Natalia with demands regarding the article and video: to recognize the listed phrases as unreliable/defamatory, to delete the video and to collect 6,000 rubles in state duty.
What it reports from participants or other records	The plaintiffs' version of the publication, consequences and reputational damage.
Legal issue	The claim states the requirements; does not in itself resolve them.
What it does not establish	The truth of the disputed phrases and the result of the case. The plaintiffs' claims cannot be cited as the court's conclusion.
Appeal	UNKNOWN : the final act and further authorities are not included in the available set.
Public use	The Frank/Cheremzy conflict is present in the publication corpus, but the use of the result of this case cannot be established without the result itself.
Probative limit	Lawsuit - VERIFIED PRIMARY for the fact of the claims and the composition of the parties; the date of registration is reproduced according to the register of court cases recorded in [521] ; result - UNKNOWN .

Additional source documents

The complete set of Loginov / Reutov, not repeated in the narrative part

E02 Document based on Loginova's material

	 485697 588212	
Генеральная прокуратура Российской Федерации ул. Б. Дмитровка, 15а Москва, Россия, ГСП-3, 125993	Прокуратура Кемеровской области / Логиновой Валентине Ивановне frau.zubkova-bakulina@mail.ru	
21.04.2020 № 69/1-П-78710-20/103247		
На № _____		
Направляется для рассмотрения обращение Логиновой В.И. (ID 2645057) о ненадлежащем проведении процессуальной проверки и по другим вопросам. Прошу организовать проверку приведенных доводов, при наличии оснований принять меры прокурорского реагирования, о результатах уведомить заявителя. Автору обращения сообщается для сведения и разъясняется, что в соответствии с п. 3.2. Инструкции о порядке рассмотрения обращений и приема граждан в органах прокуратуры Российской Федерации, утвержденной приказом Генерального прокурора Российской Федерации от 30.01.2013 № 45, обращения, решения по которым не принимались руководителем нижестоящих прокуратур, направляются им для проверки доводов с установлением контроля либо без контроля, с одновременным уведомлением об этом заявителя. Прикрепленные файлы доступны в ИСОП. Приложение: на 2 л. в первый адрес. И.о. заместителя начальника управления по надзору за производством дознания и оперативно-розыскной деятельностью  А.В. Курбатов		
АФ № 520740	<table border="1"><tr><td>Генеральная прокуратура Российской Федерации № 69/1-П-78710-20/103247</td></tr></table>	Генеральная прокуратура Российской Федерации № 69/1-П-78710-20/103247
Генеральная прокуратура Российской Федерации № 69/1-П-78710-20/103247		

Full-page reproduction of the original PDF without changing the content.



**ПРОКУРАТУРА
РОССИЙСКОЙ ФЕДЕРАЦИИ
ПРОКУРАТУРА
КЕМЕРОВСКОЙ ОБЛАСТИ
ПРОКУРАТУРА Г. КИСЕЛЁВСКА**

ул. Гормашевская, 1г. Киселёвск,
Кемеровская обл., Россия, 652700

Логиновой Валентине Ивановне,
ул. 50 лет Октября, д.28, кв. 31,
г. Киселевск
frau.zubkova-bakulina@mail.com

14.05.2020 № 2363ж -2018

Прокуратурой города по Вашему обращению, поступившему 06.05.2020 из прокуратуры Кемеровской области о несогласии с действиями (бездействием) и решениями органов предварительного расследования и прокуратуры при рассмотрении материала проверки по факту мошеннических действий Реутова А.И., проведена проверка, по результатам которой, постановлением заместителя прокурора города от 14.05.2020 в удовлетворении жалобы отказано, мотивы принятого решения изложены в указанном постановлении, копию которого Вам направляю.

Одновременно разъясняю, что в случае несогласия с принятым решением Вы вправе обжаловать его вышестоящему прокурору либо в Киселевский городской суд.

Приложение: на 1 л.

Заместитель прокурора г. Киселевска

О.А. Гринимаер

ПОСТАНОВЛЕНИЕ
об отказе в удовлетворении жалобы

г. Киселевск

14 мая 2020 года

Заместитель прокурора города Киселевска Кемеровской области, советник юстиции О.А. Гринимаер, рассмотрев жалобу Логиновой В.И.,

УСТАНОВИЛ:

06.05.2020 в прокуратуру города Киселевска из прокуратуры Кемеровской области поступила жалоба Логиновой В.И. о несогласии с действиями (бездействием) и решениями органов предварительного расследования и прокуратуры при рассмотрении материала проверки по факту мошеннических действий Реутова А.И.

В ходе проведенной проверки изучены материалы проверки по факту мошеннических действий совершенных Реутовым А.И.

В порядке ведомственного контроля 16.04.2020 постановление начальника отделения СО Отдела МВД России по г. Киселевску Кривошековой Л.Н. об отказе в возбуждении уголовного дела от 03.04.2020, отменено начальником СО отдела МВД России по г. Киселевску, материалы проверки возвращены начальнику отделения СО Отдела МВД России по г. Киселевску для проведения дополнительной проверки.

В настоящее время окончательное процессуальное решение по материалу проверки не принято.

О результатах проведенной дополнительной проверки Вы будете уведомлены должностным лицом, принявшим процессуальное решение.

Таким образом, в ходе проверки бездействие органов предварительного расследования, не нашли своего подтверждения, жалоба Логиновой В.И. удовлетворению не подлежит.

На основании изложенного и руководствуясь ст. 124 УПК РФ,

ПОСТАНОВИЛ:

В удовлетворении жалобы Логиновой В.И. отказать.

Копию постановления направить заявителю, разъяснив право обжаловать данное постановление вышестоящему прокурору или в Киселевский городской суд.

Заместитель прокурора города



О.А. Гринимаер

без дополнительной проверки; при этом такие решения не могут предрешать виновность лиц, не участвовавших ранее в рассматриваемом уголовном деле. Как отметил Конституционный Суд РФ (Постановление от 21 декабря 2011 года N 30-П; определения от 19 ноября 2015 года N 2636-О, от 19 июля 2016 года N 1697-О, от 25 октября 2016 года N 2226-О, от 20 декабря 2016 года N 2779-О, от 26 октября 2017 года N 2260-О, от 25 октября 2018 года N 2730-О, от 18 июля 2019 года N 1890-О и др.), предмет исследования в каждом виде судопроизводства имеет свои особенности, исходя из которых определяются не только компетентный суд, но и специфика процессуальных правил доказывания по соответствующим делам, включая порядок представления и исследования доказательств, а также основания для освобождения от доказывания. Как признание, так и отрицание преюдициального значения окончательных судебных решений не могут быть абсолютными. Пределы действия преюдициальности объективно обусловлены тем, что установленные судом в рамках его предмета рассмотрения по делу факты в их правовой сущности могут иметь иное значение в качестве элемента предмета доказывания по другому делу, поскольку предметы доказывания в разных видах судопроизводства не совпадают, а суды в их исследовании ограничены своей компетенцией в рамках конкретного вида судопроизводства.

В уголовном судопроизводстве решается вопрос о виновности лица в совершении преступления и о его уголовном наказании. Имеющими значение для соответствующего суда будут такие обстоятельства, подтверждающие признаки состава преступления, без закрепления которых в законе деяние не может быть признано преступным. В силу объективных и субъективных пределов действия законной силы судебного решения для органов, осуществляющих уголовное судопроизводство, не могут являться обязательными обстоятельства, установленные судебными актами других судов, если этими актами дело по существу не было разрешено или если они касались таких фактов, фигурировавших в гражданском судопроизводстве, которые не были предметом рассмотрения и потому не могут быть признаны установленными вынесенным по его результатам судебным актом.

Фактические обстоятельства, установленные вступившим в законную силу судебным актом, разрешившим дело по существу в порядке арбитражного или гражданского судопроизводства, имеют преюдициальное значение для суда, прокурора, следователя и дознавателя по находящемуся в их производстве уголовному делу, когда в уголовном судопроизводстве рассматривается вопрос о правах и обязанностях того лица, правовое положение которого уже определено ранее вынесенным судебным актом. Принятые в порядке арбитражного или гражданского судопроизводства и вступившие в законную силу решения судов по арбитражным и гражданским делам не могут рассматриваться как предрешающие выводы суда при осуществлении уголовного судопроизводства о том, содержит ли деяние признаки преступления, а также о виновности обвиняемого, которые должны основываться на всей совокупности доказательств по уголовному делу.

Признание за вступившим в законную силу судебным актом, принятым в порядке арбитражного или гражданского судопроизводства, преюдициального значения при рассмотрении уголовного дела не может препятствовать правильному и своевременному осуществлению правосудия по уголовным делам исходя из требований Конституции РФ, в том числе принципа презумпции невиновности лица, обвиняемого в совершении преступления, которая может быть опровергнута только посредством процедур, предусмотренных уголовно-процессуальным законом, и только в рамках уголовного судопроизводства (статья 49 и статья 118, часть 2, Конституции РФ).

Кроме того, согласно ст. 140 УПК РФ поводами для возбуждения уголовного дела служат: 1) заявление о преступлении; 2) явка с повинной; 3) сообщение о совершенном или готовящемся преступлении, полученное из иных источников; 4) постановление прокурора о направлении соответствующих материалов в орган предварительного следствия для решения вопроса об уголовном преследовании. Основанием для возбуждения уголовного дела является наличие достаточных данных, указывающих на признаки преступления. Согласно ст. 143 УПК РФ сообщение о совершенном или готовящемся преступлении, полученное из иных источников, чем указанные в п.п. 1, 2 и 4 части первой ст. 140 УПК РФ, принимается лицом, получившим данное сообщение, о чем составляется рапорт об обнаружении признаков преступления.

Поводом для возбуждения уголовного дела явилось заявление Логиновой В.И., в постановлении о возбуждении уголовного дела перечислены признаки состава преступления в соответствии с примечаниями 1, 4 к статье 158 УК РФ, поскольку указано о совершении неустановленным лицом противоправного безвозмездного изъятия имущества – квартиры, о причинении вследствие этого ущерба собственнику – Кравченко О.Л., о способе хищения путем обмана. Указано в постановлении о возбуждении уголовного дела и о причинении ущерба, превышающего 250 000 рублей, что является основанием для возбуждения уголовного дела по ч. 3 ст. 159 УК РФ.

Основанием для возбуждения уголовного дела послужило наличие достаточных данных, указывающих на признаки преступления, предусмотренного ч. 3 ст. 159 УК РФ.

На основании изложенного,

ПРОШУ:

В удовлетворении жалобы Реутова А.И. на постановление судьи Центрального районного суда г. Кемерово об оставлении без удовлетворения жалобы Реутова А.И., заявленной в порядке ст. 125 УПК РФ, о признании незаконным постановления следователя о возбуждении уголовного дела от 06.07.2020 по признакам преступления, предусмотренного ч. 3 ст. 159 УК РФ,

E05 · Court document on Reutov's complaint · page 4 of 6

следует отказать, постановление судьи Центрального районного суда г. Кемерово от 16.10.2020 оставить без изменения.

Старший следователь СЧ ГСУ ГУ
МВД России по Кемеровской области
майор юстиции



Л.А. Некрасова

В Кемеровский областной суд

пр. Химиков, 9, Кемерово, Кемеровская обл., 650000

Заявитель: Реутов Антон Игоревич, 29.11.1988 г.р.

Адрес: г. Киселевск, пер. Тенистый, 40

Тел.: 8-951-580-6614

Дело: №3/12-232/2020 (УИД №42 RS0009-01-2020-008171-22)

ЧАСТНАЯ ЖАЛОБА

на постановление судьи центрального районного суда города Кемерово Кемеровской области Торощина Д.В. Дело: №3/12-232/2020 о признании незаконным постановления следователя о возбуждении уголовного дела от 06.07.2020 по признакам преступления, предусмотренного ч.3 ст. 159 УК РФ

16.10.2020 судьей центрального районного суда города Кемерово Кемеровской области Торощина Д.В. по делу: №3/12-232/2020 было вынесено постановление которым моя жалоба в порядке ст. 125 УПК РФ о признании незаконным постановления следователя о возбуждении уголовного дела от 06.07.2020 по признакам преступления, предусмотренного ч.3 ст. 159 УК РФ была оставлена без удовлетворения. Считаю данное постановление незаконным и необоснованным ввиду следующего:

Суд, оценивая доводы жалобы в порядке статьи 125 УПК РФ о преюдициальном значении состоявшихся судебных решений необоснованно пришел к выводу, что каких-либо судебных решений о том, что Кравченко О.Л. не был обманут Реутовым А.И. при заключении договора купли-продажи, в части получения им по договору 950 000 рублей якобы нет.


При этом суд не учел, что решением Киселевского городского суда по гражданскому делу 2-32/2016 от 08.08.2016 установлено, что деньги Кравченко О.Л. в счет оплаты по договору в размере 950 000 рублей были переданы в полном объеме именно поэтому судом была применена двусторонняя реституция. Передача денежных средств подтверждена свидетелем Парфеновым П.Н (юрист МФЦ) и п.3 договора купли-продажи квартиры. Данные юридически значимые факты установлены проверены и закреплены Решением Киселевского городского суда. Решение вступило в законную силу и обжаловано не было.

По смыслу статьи 90 УПК Российской Федерации, для следователя и суда в уголовном судопроизводстве преюдициально установленным является факт законного перехода имущества - впредь до опровержения этого факта в ходе производства по уголовному делу, возбужденному по признакам фальсификации доказательств, на основе приговора по которому впоследствии может быть опровергнута и его прежняя правовая оценка судом в гражданском процессе, а именно как законного приобретения права собственности; соответственно, следователь не может и не должен обращаться к вопросу, составлявшему предмет доказывания по гражданскому делу.

Опровержение же преюдиции судебного акта, принятого в порядке гражданского судопроизводства, на основании одного лишь несогласия следователя (или суда), осуществляющего производство по уголовному делу, с выводами данного судебного акта (как это имело место в соответствии со статьей 90 УПК Российской Федерации в прежней редакции), позволило бы преодолевать законную силу судебного решения в нарушение

Karpova / Chursina and the collective appeal of the MFC

E08 · Fragment of court material from Karpov / Chursin



Complete source file. In V0.4, this object was mistakenly signed as case No. 1-482/2019; in this version its purpose has been corrected.

E10 · Collective appeal from MFC employees

В прокуратуру г. Киселевска
от работников МАУ «МФЦ»
г. Киселевска.

ЖАЛОБА

Мы, работники МАУ «МФЦ» г.Киселевска в количестве 24 человек просим защитить наши трудовые права.

Руководителем МАУ «МФЦ» г.Киселевска является Елгина Ирина Николаевна (директор, телефон 8-384-64-2-05-88). На 29 февраля 2020 произойдёт ликвидация данного предприятия. Почти всем сотрудникам было предложено увольнение с МАУ «МФЦ» г. Киселевска, с последующим переводом в ГАУ «УМФЦ по Кемеровской области» отдел «Мои Документы» с 01.01.2020 г. Нами были заполнены необходимые для перевода документы и направлены в Кемерово.

МАУ «МФЦ» г.Киселевска является муниципальным учреждением, учредителем которого, является администрация Киселевского городского округа. Учредителем предусмотрены выплаты при ликвидации МАУ «МФЦ» г. Киселевска. Директор МАУ «МФЦ» Елгина И.Н. уведомила сотрудников, что Администрация г.Киселевска не выделяет средства на выплаты компенсаций уволенным, по причине их отсутствия. С ее слов, все расходы на это должны изыскиваться из собственных средств, полученных за оказание платных услуг предоставляемых МАУ «МФЦ» г.Киселевска. С этих средств ранее нам ежемесячно начислялась премия по итогам работы фиксированной суммой.

С назначением директора МАУ «МФЦ» сотрудникам периодически не выплачивалась премия. За разъяснениями мы неоднократно обращались к директору, однако она поясняла, что все дополнительные выплаты распределяются между сотрудниками на усмотрение руководителя. С октября 2019 года **премию вновь прекратили выплачивать**, началась так называемая «экономия», которая, по словам директора МАУ «МФЦ» Елгиной И.Н., необходима для выплат компенсаций уволенным сотрудникам при ликвидации учреждения. **При этом компенсации были начислены как самой Елгиной И.Н., так и главному бухгалтеру МАУ «МФЦ» г.Киселевска - Меркульевой Татьяне Ивановне**, хотя известно, что они продолжают работать с 01.01.2020 г. вместе с нами в ГАУ «УМФЦ по Кемеровской области» отдел «Мои Документы».

Мы считаем, что Елгина И.Н. нарушила наши трудовые права посредством невыплаты премии за октябрь и ноябрь. В декабре премию выплатили, но не в полном объеме. Мы хотим знать, куда пошли средства,

E11 · Continuation of MFC materials

полученные с оказания нами платных услуг, и были ли выделены средства на выплаты компенсаций уволенным сотрудникам из городского бюджета? А также почему, если Елгина И.Н. и Меркульева Т.И. останутся работать, они должны получить эти выплаты, а мы – нет.

- Просим:

1. Провести финансовую проверку в отношении директора и главного бухгалтера МАУ «МФЦ» г.Киселевска за весь период их работы на данном предприятии.
2. Принять к лицам, допустившим нарушение наших трудовых прав, установленные меры ответственности.
3. Обязать работодателя Елгину Ирину Николаевну выплатить премию.

Подписи сотрудников МАУ «МФЦ» г.Киселевска:

1. Рыжиков М.В.
2. Парфенов П.Н.
3. Осьмаков М.И.
4. Сафарова О.А.
5. Решетникова А.А.
6. Толпышев Е.И.
7. Кремнева О.Н.
8. Постникова Е.В.
9. Васильева А.В.
10. Ядыкина Е.Я.
11. Дерр Н.А.
12. Лобова О.А.
13. Москвина Е.И.
14. Красуля В.С.
15. Кромов И.Н.
16. Чаркина Н.И.
17. Федорова Т.В.
18. Кузьмина И.М.
19. Ильина А.П.
20. Клейменова О.Я.
21. Швайгерус В.А.
22. Фадеева А.О.
23. Дерр С.С.
24. Грефенштейн Л.Н.

27.12.2019 г.

Appendix F. February 2021

VI.8. Departure 27 February 2021 and publication of flight information

Element	Result	Status/source
Events February 20–23	On 20 February, Roman Kupriyanov was searched; saved external materials report the seizure of three computers, phones and a tablet and the subsequent interview as a witness. 22.02 No. 5161 stated that law enforcement sources informed the resource about the presence of Kupriyanov's correspondence, including correspondence with Natalia. 23.02 No. 5173 published a fragment of another correspondence and called the receipt of the image anonymous.	Search, seizure of the listed equipment and questioning - VERIFIED SECONDARY ; contents No. 5161/No. 5173 — VERIFIED PRIMARY ; law enforcement and anonymous channels - CLAIMED SOURCE — UNVERIFIED . [S23] , [S24] .
WhatsApp / “Black Snow”	Natalia, as a participant in her own WhatsApp correspondence, testifies that the seized phone contained her correspondence with Roman and that she periodically told him about the progress of work on the film “Black Snow.”	NATALIA TESTIMONY / NOT RECOVERED . The absence of an archival copy does not invalidate Natalia's testimony about the messages that she herself wrote. It is not established who read specific messages and whether information from them was transmitted to the editors.
Events February 25–26	Natalia reported to SOTA about the attack and threats to her daughters; a snapshot of the message is saved inside #5219.	The publicity of the story at this time - VERIFIED PRIMARY ; the event itself - NATALIA TESTIMONY . Source SOTA URL in current bundle NOT RECOVERED .
Departure February 27	Natalia left Kiselevsk. In a statement on 8 April 2021, she said that she hid the city and address and changed her phone number.	DOC: statement made contemporaneously + NATALIA TESTIMONY for reasons and safety measures. The response from the FSB confirms the registration on 15 April 2021 for No. 3-579 and the redirection, but not the truth of the entire statement.
Publication No. 5219 dated 2 March 2021	The material “Natalia Zubkova has found a new source of enrichment” published a database row containing Natalia's personal data, flight date 27 February 2021 and route Tolmachevo (Novosibirsk) - Koltsovo (Ekaterinburg).	Existence of post, image and readable fields - VERIFIED PRIMARY ; JSON №5219: SHA-256 D8A6 6F575CB0F37D1CDED4C30EAAA48C9AEF9ECC56F8C7193D1E7A77A3EDCB85 .
Image of the database row	Attachment ID 5224; local file images/original/4700c9e095be8ea1_d091d0b8d0bbd0b5d182.jpg ; JPEG, 1280 × 416, 29,301 bytes; SHA-256 0562332FD451D5588F426E28769836D587D75F476AF325C53F94E2519BC87FCD .	VERIFIED PRIMARY for the published file. The originating system, the database operator, and the person making the request are: SOURCE UNKNOWN . Solution for this reader build: the original evidentiary object is reproduced without retouching, painting, blotting or other visual interference. The evidentiary status of an object is determined by its origin and content.
Claimed Telegram bot	No. 5219 answers the question about the source: “Where do we get this information? From a telegram bot,” then writes about paid access to databases. The bot's name, operator and initial base are not shown.	The statement itself - VERIFIED PRIMARY ; data origin - CLAIMED SOURCE — UNVERIFIED .
"We made inquiries"	In the same publication, the resource claims that Natalia's husband did not contact the police or emergency services. The authorities' responses, appeal logs and the named source have not been published.	Contents of the publication - VERIFIED PRIMARY ; basis for the stated “checks” - SOURCE UNKNOWN .
Connection with searches	No. 5219 itself begins the editorial version of the story “immediately after the searches that took place at Maxim Uchvatov and Roman Kupriyanov.”	VERIFIED PRIMARY for a resource position. Does not establish the real reason for the searches and is not used to hypothesize about law enforcement motives.

Element	Result	Status/source
What does the flight prove?	The narrow fact of Natalia's movement on 27 February 2021 along the specified route.	Supported by the database row and Natalia's contemporaneous statement.
What a flight doesn't prove	The database row does not establish whether there was an attack and threats, why Natalia left, why the money was collected, or whether the departure was staged.	Expanded Findings #5219 is an editorial interpretation and not the content of the flight data. INDICIA / INFERENCE for the observed semantic transition.
Probative limit	Route not established police → a specific non-public item → the editorial team; Receipt of information about the film from WhatsApp is not established; The reason for the search of Kupriyanov was not investigated in this report, and no public inference is made about it.	UNKNOWN; [S24] . Temporal consistency does not equal proven transmission or causation.

Observed mechanism: the real fact of the flight → an expanded interpretation of the attack, threats, money and motives → the use of this interpretation to discredit Natalia.
 Mechanism status - **INDICIA / INFERENCE** ; the reality of the flight does not support the extended conclusion.

February 2021: four evidentiary categories

Date of capture: 3 October 2026.

The limit of the task: do not establish the leak, the source of information about the film, the reason for the search, or the motives for the actions of law enforcement agencies. Below are divided only Natalia's testimony, facts confirmed by the archive, the unknown and the safe publication formula.

1. What Natalia remembers

Natalia herself was a participant in a WhatsApp conversation with Roman Kupriyanov and remembers the contents of her own messages. She periodically told Roman about how work on the film "Black Snow" was progressing.

Natalia reports that the seized phone contained WhatsApp with this correspondence. After the search, seizure of equipment and the statement "Bez Tsenzury" that the resource knew about Kupriyanov's correspondence with Natalia, she perceived what was happening as a serious threat and as a sign that private correspondence was no longer protected.

Natalia connects this sequence, threats and general feeling of insecurity with her decision to leave Kiselevsk on 27 February 2021.

Status: **NATALIA TESTIMONY / NOT RECOVERED** .

NOT RECOVERED means only that the corresponding part of the WhatsApp correspondence has not now been restored in the archive. This does not negate or diminish Natalia's testimony about the contents of the messages she herself wrote.

2. What does the archive confirm?

1. **20 February 2021:** Roman Kupriyanov was searched; retained external materials report the seizure of three computers, phones and a tablet. After the search, Kupriyanov was questioned as a witness. Status: **VERIFIED SECONDARY** .

2. **22 February 2021:** "Bez Tsenzury" on [publication No. 5161](#) wrote:

We learned from law enforcement sources that Kupriyanov had kept correspondence with the entire opposition since 2019. Correspondence with Zubkova, of course, is also available.

The archive confirms that the resource claimed knowledge of Kupriyanov's correspondence with Natalia and attributed this knowledge to sources in law enforcement agencies.
 Status of the editorial statement itself: **VERIFIED PRIMARY** ; stated source: **CLAIMED SOURCE – UNVERIFIED** .

3. **23 February 2021:** "Bez Tsenzury" on [publication No. 5173](#) published a fragment of another correspondence and said:

We anonymously received a screenshot of Roman Kupriyanov's correspondence with a person who wished to remain anonymous.

The published fragment is not designated as correspondence between Natalia and Roman. Publication status: **VERIFIED PRIMARY**; declared anonymous channel: **CLAIMED SOURCE** – **UNVERIFIED**.

4. **25–26 February 2021:** Natalia publicly reported to SOTA about the attack and threats to her daughters. A snapshot of the message is saved inside #5219. This confirms that Natalia reported the episode even then; the event itself remains **NATALIA TESTIMONY**.

5. **27 February 2021:** Natalia left Kiselevsk. In a statement dated 8 April 2021, she said that she hid the city and address and changed her phone number. The response from the FSB confirms the registration of the application on 15 April 2021 for No. 3-579 and its redirection, but does not automatically confirm the entire content of the application.

6. **2 March 2021:** "Bez Tsenzury" on [publication No. 5219](#) posted a database row containing Natalia's name, date and time of flight and route Tolmachevo (Novosibirsk) - Koltsovo (Ekaterinburg). The resource wrote:

Where do we get this information from? From a telegram bot.

Next, the resource mentioned paid access to databases. In the same publication, the site itself connected the beginning of its version of events with the searches of Maxim Uchvatov and Roman Kupriyanov and used the formula “we made inquiries” in relation to the alleged calls of Natalia's husband to the police and rescue service.

Existence of publication and database row - **VERIFIED PRIMARY**. The Telegram bot and “inquiries made” are statements of the resource itself, and not independently confirmed sources.

3. What is unknown

Status **UNKNOWN** saved for the following questions:

- who, after the seizure of the equipment, had access to a specific phone and WhatsApp correspondence;
- Has anyone actually read Natalia's messages about the progress of work on “Black Snow”;
- whether information from this correspondence was transmitted “without censorship”;
- where did the resource get information about Alina Simon, the film or the stage of its production;
- where did the data about Natalia's flight originally come from;
- whether there was a route for transferring specific material from the police to the editorial office;

- for what reason was the search carried out at Kupriyanov's place and whether it was in any way connected with Natalia or the film.

The latter question is not being explored and should not be developed into a hypothesis. Roman Kupriyanov is located in Russia.

4. Public evidentiary boundary

It is acceptable to write:

The seized phone contained WhatsApp and correspondence between Roma and me. I was one of the participants and remember that I periodically told him how work on the film "Black Snow" was progressing.

On 22 February, "Bez Tsenzury" wrote that its sources in law enforcement agencies reported the existence of correspondence from Roma, including with me. The next day, the resource published a fragment of another correspondence, saying that the image had been received anonymously.

I cannot determine who read my messages and whether information from them was transmitted to the editors. But at that moment, for me, this sequence meant that the private conversation no longer felt protected.

On 27 February, I left Kiselevsk. On 2 March, "Bez Tsenzury" published my flight details and stated that it had obtained them from a Telegram bot and database. The origin of these data has not been independently determined.

It is unacceptable to write as an established fact:

- that the police provided "Bez Tsenzury" correspondence or flight data;
- that the police officers read precisely the messages about "Black Snow";
- that "Bez Tsenzury" received information about the film from Natalia and Roman's WhatsApp correspondence;
- that the search of Kupriyanov was related to Natalia or the film;
- any assumptions about the reasons for the search or motives for actions against Kupriyanov.

The final limit: in a public presentation it is permissible to put Natalia's testimony side by side with the sequence of events confirmed by the archive. It is unacceptable to turn their temporary proximity into a proven leak or explanation of the reasons for the search.

Appendix G. UNKNOWN / LOST / NOT RECOVERED

X. Unknown and Lost Materials

X.1. Principle

Not restored doesn't mean it didn't happen. Simultaneously **evidence does not become established external fact simply because possible supporting material is lost.** For each space, the type of absence is listed below.

X.2. Register of gaps

Object	What is known	What's missing	Status/impact on output
Damaged disk	According to Natalia, part of the archive was on damaged media.	Complete list and restored copies.	NATALIA TESTIMONY + LOST / NOT RECOVERED ; The contents cannot be considered proven or non-existent.
Deleted data	Natalia reports about deleted/lost documents, correspondence and publications.	Technical deletion logs and full backup.	NATALIA TESTIMONY ; affects the completeness of the negative search.
OK / "Kiselevsk News Review"	Mail.ru designates a specific OK account as "Group Administrator" and associates it with a number; The Ministry of Internal Affairs report reports that Maria Aleksandrovna Ulyanova uses the same number.	A complete archive of posts and comments, the number of administrators, the authorship of each publication, creation/ownership of a group and the connection of a Messenger message with an individual.	Maria Ulyanova's connection with the specified administrator account - VERIFIED PRIMARY / CROSS-DOCUMENT IDENTIFIER MATCH; ribbon - LOST / NOT RECOVERED ; other attributions - UNKNOWN .
Sergey Gorbunov	Natalia's testimony and Karpova's retelling of forced labor/retention; VK mentions the 2020 statement.	Direct statement by Gorbunov, KUSP, executor, result of the Ministry of Internal Affairs / Investigative Committee, appeal; previous OK videos are closed.	NATALIA TESTIMONY , OTHER TESTIMONY , LOST / NOT RECOVERED , UNKNOWN - for different objects. Does not establish "labour slavery" as an external fact.
School No. 24	[E09] confirms sanitary violations and administrative responsibility; #4796 reports accident/transfer.	The initial examination report on accidents and the administrative decision on transfer.	UNKNOWN ; No. 879 cannot be expanded until it becomes an emergency.
The outcome of the Loginova case	Initiation on 6 July 2020 and judicial control on 16 October 2020 were confirmed.	Judgment, dismissal or other final procedural act.	UNKNOWN ; the opening of a case does not establish guilt.
Qualifications 159(3) / 158(2)	[E05] indicates part 3 of article 159; public material 3 September 2020 - part 2 of article 158.	A document that reliably separates or links these qualifications episode by episode.	CONTRADICTION / UNKNOWN ; do not merge automatically.
A27-1854/2021	The composition of the parties and requirements are confirmed by the claim; The date of registration of the case is 4 February 2021.	The final judicial act and the history of the instances.	UNKNOWN ; the date of registration is not the date of the decision, the plaintiffs' claims are not the conclusion of the court.

Object	What is known	What's missing	Status/impact on output
A27-20471/2020	The partial result and dates of the instances were confirmed by the decision of the Supreme Court.	A full copy of the first instance decision with the exact wording of the operative part; a separate copy of the appeal.	LOST / NOT RECOVERED in the local kit; use only the narrow formula from the Supreme Court act.
Valery Kazantsev	Two Blogger pages and six WordPress publications directly name Valery/Valera Kazantsev; No. 1672 reports death and refers to No. 541. Natalia's reaction was recorded separately.	Site-independent identification with a specific person, reliable date/circumstances of death and factual post-mortem chronology.	Publication layer - VERIFIED PRIMARY ; external facts - UNKNOWN ; influence on Natalia - NATALIA TESTIMONY .
Site operators	Public accounts, profile, links and routes of individual materials have been confirmed.	Individuals behind WordPress/Blogger/Telegram and the overall control centre.	UNKNOWN ; circulation does not equal overall coordination.
Routes of non-public materials	Individual documents, correspondence and everyday images appeared in publications.	Who, when and on what basis transferred each non-public material.	SOURCE UNKNOWN ; Proximity in time and coincidence of the file do not identify the person without an additional chain.
Family connections	Natalia describes marriage/kinship and local acquaintances; some names and positions are confirmed separately.	Civil registry acts, other independent documents and the relevance of the connection to a specific decision.	NATALIA TESTIMONY / UNKNOWN ; not evidence of influence or conflict of interest.
Service connection Varlamov-Gavrilov	Gavrilov was named deputy of the Afonino OP on 29 October 2014; Varlamov - head of the same department on 3 November 2015.	A document on the simultaneity of their service, powers on specific KUSP and participation in the affairs of Karpova/Loginova.	Two VERIFIED dated slice + UNKNOWN for simultaneity and participation.
Visit of Tatyana Varlamova	The official visit itself, the case under Part 3 of Article 144 and the stated official purpose - notification, interrogation and recognition of Natalia as a victim - are supported by contemporaneous sources.	Summons, protocol, case number, decision recognizing the victim, identities of the two men, source of the address, outcome of the investigation and the exact name of the position of T.V. Varlamova in April 2021.	Date 06/7 April 2021 and position - CONTRADICTORY / UNKNOWN ; early and later sources differ between "deputy chief" and "chief".
Threats from the statement 8 April 2021	It is confirmed that Natalia reported them then; one separate screenshot of the threat has been saved.	The originals of each named threat, their authors, KUSP and final decisions.	DOC: statement made contemporaneously + UNKNOWN by external attribution.
Threat of public burning in OK	27 consecutive photographs dated 17 August 2020 confirm the contents of the correspondence; No. 2759 of 21 August 2020 confirms the resource's awareness of the reported threat and its public reaction.	The physical identity of the sender, the existence of his stated group of nine people, the source of knowledge No. 2759, the sender's connection with the editorial office and the intention to carry out the threat.	Contents of two objects – VERIFIED PRIMARY ; listed attributions and causal connections - UNKNOWN . [S25] .
Listening and family conversations	Natalia reported this on 8 April 2021; the family theme is present in the case.	Apparatus, technical expertise, original records, and publication route.	NATALIA TESTIMONY / UNKNOWN ; do not turn into institutional surveillance.
Karpova / flat	The real conflict, the penalty, the administrative episode and the publication chain are confirmed.	Complete civil acts, flat KUSP, decisions of the Ministry of Internal Affairs, FSB/dictaphone documents.	LOST / NOT RECOVERED for known copies; rest UNKNOWN .

X.3. How to use a negative result

Valid formulas:

- “The primary act has not been restored in the accessible archive”;
- “Natalia reported this in a statement dated 8 April 2021; there is no separate external confirmation in the current set”;
- “The complete transmission route is unknown”;
- “The material is known by description, but its copy has been lost”;
- “Sources differ and the controversy has not yet been resolved.”

Automatic transitions are not allowed: not found → it did not happen, official refusal → the event was fabricated, testimony → the entire episode is externally established, repetition → independent confirmation, links between names → coordination.

Appendix H. Methodology and provenance

I. Methodology

I.1. Research Question and Unit of Analysis

Study design:

event → change of meaning → discrediting of the source → repetition → institutional weight of an official document → penetration into the social environment.

The study does not attempt to prove in advance a single actor behind the entire corpus or a single operator across the sites. Narrower observable relationships are tested:

- 1. what Natalia did and on what initial issue;
- 2. what about the issue itself is supported by primary or external sources;
- 3. what exactly each platform published;
- 4. what semantic replacement occurred between the original question and Natalia’s characterization;
- 5. whether there is a technically confirmed transfer: link, time sequence, significant text match or identical file;
- 6. where established fact ends and testimony, indirect inference or uncertainty begins.

Counting units do not mix:

Unit	What counts?	Why is this important
URL	Specific web address.	Multiple URLs may lead to the same publication or copies of the same material.
Publication	A distinct platform record with its own ID and date.	The number of publications is not the same as the number of sources.
Unique file	File with separate SHA-256.	Different names and URLs may contain the same file.
Original source	Earliest confirmed appearance or primary document.	The displayed date without an independent archive can be changed.
Independent source	A source that cannot be reduced to a reprint or reference to the same material.	Repetition does not make a claim more likely to be true.
Confirmed operator	A person or organisation whose management of the site is documented.	General profile, style or cross-references do not in themselves identify an operator.

Four layers of an official document are separately distinguished: what it directly establishes; what it retells; what legal issue is resolved; what remains beyond the decision. The refusal to initiate a case does not translate into the formula “there was no event” if the act only resolves the question of the presence of a specific composition or intent.

I.2. Corpus and date of capture

WordPress "Bez Tsenzury"

- Date of access and main commit: **29 September 2026**.
- Two official points of WordPress.com - REST API v1.1 and WP REST v2 - gave the same sets of **644 unique post IDs**. VERIFIED PRIMARY.
- Time range: **1 August 2017 12:31:23 +04:00, ID 13 — 17 May 2022 15:29:02 +04:00, ID 7012**. VERIFIED PRIMARY.
- Public metadata of all posts indicates the account bezcenxury, user ID 125730977. The individual or legal entity behind the account is CONTRADICTORY / UNKNOWN.
- A manual set concerning Natalia was compiled after reviewing **101** posts found by searching her name: **60** included in the main body, of which **42 DIRECT** And **18 CO-PRIMARY**; **41** occasional or borderline mentions were excluded. Existence of publications, their IDs, dates and texts - VERIFIED PRIMARY. Marking DIRECT / CO-PRIMARY / excluded - reproducible exploratory coding, i.e. INDICIA / INFERENCE, not a primary fact.

Year	Publications in full corpus
2017	41
2018	19
2019	52
2020	321
2021	172
2022	39
Total	644

Sources: [S01], [S02], [S03], [S04], [S06], [S07].

Telegram, Blogger, LiveJournal, VK and OK

- Local building @extrmizmKuzbass : **2,524 unique messages**, period **1 November 2019–29 October 2022**, saved **143 Telegram pages**. VERIFIED PRIMARY ; sources [S17]–[S19].
- WordPress body contains **493** outgoing links to t.me ; of which **478** lead to SheglovkaNews , **3** - To extrmizmKuzbass . This is a recount outgoing_links V [S02] dated 3 October 2026, not the number of unique messages or independent sources.
- Public Blogger Profile 12533056504748407669 lists **31 separate Blogspot URLs/blog IDs**, each with one public entry. Number of people, editorial staff and operators - CONTRADICTORY / UNKNOWN . Sources [S10], [S15], [S16].
- IN posts.json found **47** outgoing links to nik-pravdorub.livejournal.com and more **44** links to other LiveJournal hosts. For the main body 60 - **8** links to nik-pravdorub and one on infokemerovo . This is the presence of links, not proof of a common author.
- For VK and OK, only saved pages, screenshots, links and profile reports were used. The complete archive of the Kiselevsk News Review group has not been restored. LOST / NOT RECOVERED for the lost array; the existence of individual preserved materials - VERIFIED PRIMARY .

Documents and testimony

The corpus includes copies of acts of the Ministry of Internal Affairs, the prosecutor's office, courts, Rospotrebnadzor and the FSSP provided by Natalia, a contemporaneous statement dated 8 April 2021 and the response of the FSB, as well as evidence from Natalia and other participants. The presence of a copy confirms the contents of that particular file; it does not, by itself, establish the authenticity or completeness of the full departmental record and its annexes.

I.3. Technical preservation

WordPress

- HTML saved for everyone **644** publications; loading errors - **0**; total volume - **181,099,166 bytes**.
- For each HTML fixed post_id , source and destination URL, access date, HTTP status, MIME, size and SHA-256 in [S05].
- posts.json contains text, HTML, metadata and array outgoing_links ; post-index.csv And timeline.json allow you to independently recalculate indices and annual dynamics.
- 2,472 image uses recorded, 1,768 unique URLs; 1,766 files were saved, representing 1,712 unique SHA-256s. Two external files returned HTTP 400. These numbers describe the technical archive, not the number of independent visual sources.

Control chain

For each significant finding, the following sequence is applied:

1. publication/document identifier and date;
2. local relative path;
3. SHA-256 of the file or a link to an immutable manifest;
4. analytical card, where content and conclusion are separated;

5. evidentiary limit.

OCR, transcript and analytical report do not replace the original. If the primary file is located in Natalia's external archive and has not yet been duplicated into the project, this version provides the file name and profile report, but the completeness status of the set remains limited.

Registry of key files for this version

Code	Relative path	Byte	SHA-256	Purpose
S01	research/bez-cenzury/data/corpus-summary.json	28 558	B2A45E04446BD73546083C44959CA16D6B2F1E8887B760EF59387F328319D772	Full Corpus Summary
S02	research/bez-cenzury/data/posts.json	11 145 573	76799DB6711068B3A7123ED50D7CF4C745A9BB32BD31F552C01B6430C1137CC7	644 publications, text, HTML, links
S03	research/bez-cenzury/data/post-index.csv	279 819	B17B79AF4BBC0B3AF35C7EEBDB985A48E831C3307C490B3891BE7096D5ED4013	Publication index
S04	research/bez-cenzury/data/timeline.json	3 455	121B60B479409A39261DC40FD31C54B7BA28551C0CD55711CDB14B534932A4F2	Temporal dynamics
S05	research/bez-cenzury/sources/html-manifest.json	490 562	5F8A8CCDAAAF81E33AE135F0E3BBF0E71969BCD68DD95590A489A4E0E034BD5B	SHA-256 all 644 HTML
S06	research/bez-cenzury/analysis/FIRST-CHECKPOINT.md	15 692	AC18E33B93697489CB0593C78A915F97BF7B46DDC70C9557AF6C4215672CB730	Method and technical fixation
S07	research/bez-cenzury/analysis/POST-HANDOVER-ZUBKOVA-WORDPRESS-PRIMARY-CORPUS.md	227 464	0C333F13E85EB91AE612EED5BD6940C5170332DDA10DF106643D4BDA257D651	Manual classification 101/60/41
S08	research/bez-cenzury/analysis/POST-HANDOVER-ZUBKOVA-MENTAL-HEALTH-CLAIMS-CHRONOLOGY.md	47 562	8BB11378500B80430D02DB91A332113210D6AD5F9F4DC7EAE0426C8E8DB724E6	Psychiatric line
S09	research/bez-cenzury/analysis/POST-HANDOVER-REALITY-SUBSTITUTION-CROSS-PLATFORM-MATRIX-V0.3.md	13 019	DA5402E4EB594476146AC20DF8D2ED037EDCB3BEAD40371D8529AC549AA5B570	Summary of cross-platform chains
S10	research/bez-cenzury/analysis/POST-HANDOVER-BLOGGER-PROFILE-12533056504748407669-CORRECTION-AND-REASSESSMENT.md	32 519	0A5BAFD2E868D96C3C4E6E9764152A55C1C0BC97B5CA959D899BBC45304D4DC1	Corrected Blogger rating
S11	research/bez-cenzury/analysis/POST-HANDOVER-EXTRMIZMKUZBASS-BLOGSPOT-WORDPRESS-CROSSCHECK.md	15 193	FB415A74DD3D6721041BF7B80F5761133F3BE4E509E9E5263EBB536695347E73	Telegram ↔ WordPress; negative Blogger check
S12	research/bez-cenzury/analysis/POST-HANDOVER-ZUBKOVA-NON-ECOLOGY-CONFLICTS-AND-BEZCENZURY-CROSSCHECK.md	72 769	4715E02544ADF1F77D1C08C5852A54F68F8893550040A794D9D93C0FF75AE57	School, Loginova, MFC and other topics
S13	research/bez-cenzury/analysis/POST-HANDOVER-CHURSINA-GAVRILOV-KARPOVA-MVD-CONNECTIONS.md	65 226	F3A389D9DBAF5087DEE3958FE242D121A17C324D3029F0E5FCB5BB0E19128867	Karpova / Chursina and comparison with Loginova; KUSP number is verified against [E07] : №3995

Code	Relative path	Byte	SHA-256	Purpose
S14	research/bez-cenzury/analysis/POST-HANDOVER-SERGEY-GORBUNOV-CHUR SINA-FORCED-LABOR-CASE.md	38 871	AA8287E95E672D3C738FC58A53997236E7C8C B5DBFF68DE8ACFD97F1232FAC72	Gorbunov; limits of the surviving material
S15	research/bez-cenzury/archive/POST-HANDOVER-BLOGGER-PROFILE-CORRE CTION-20261003/summary.json	467	83787D20B9855CA62A1D0490628B2FF53BB26 258F72FF6E56E343D7F523B6D18	Blogger summary
S16	research/bez-cenzury/archive/POST-HANDOVER-BLOGGER-PROFILE-CORRE CTION-20261003/SHA256SUMS.txt	375	39370706A5A8CBB23C278EB1706DE444BAE8D 24CA39879CA9B71CA80415C009D	Blogger archive check
S17	research/bez-cenzury/archive/POST-HANDOVER-EXTRMIZMKUZBASS-CROSS CHECK-v1/summary.json	437	E4B384966FFC2AF848953876E939F4EEA8F2E 6CE9598C38DFE04799076156BC8	Telegram summary
S18	research/bez-cenzury/archive/POST-HANDOVER-EXTRMIZMKUZBASS-CROSS CHECK-v1/telegram-page-manifest.json	102 646	53B26A0007E60168D6E7879ACD383DA251D41 2B40C55465980D4F9E9436EA540	Manifest of 143 Telegram pages
S19	research/bez-cenzury/archive/POST-HANDOVER-EXTRMIZMKUZBASS-CROSS CHECK-v1/telegram-messages-1-2999.json	6 409 545	8F26A7ACF41E452A650F3D7DB233D3D5C8229 04EFD43A7FB7EE82C6B1E273FF7	2,524 messages
S20	research/bez-cenzury/analysis/POST-HANDOVER-OFFICIAL-DOCUMENTS-2 020-PUBLICATION-LINKAGE.md	22 943	8920DA648D19EE0BB4A886EE0264D362F8F1B 84FFF96FA2444847E4DEF310102	Border “official document ↔ publication”
S21	research/bez-cenzury/analysis/POST-HANDOVER-REALITY-SUBSTITUTION -V0.3-FACTCHECK.md	32 713	FD2CE3E880049B92CA870C99AEE48378BD226 162D9412F08CEC442DC98DC8339	Line by line fact check of the original article
S22	research/bez-cenzury/analysis/POST-HANDOVER-2026-10-03-NEW-EVIDE NCE-INVENTORY.md	33 707	3163714D7C2DE44B8D7464769A34BB7C870D3 7141686F341D942DEB8DCD37402	Inventory of receipts 3 October 2026
S23	research/bez-cenzury/analysis/POST-HANDOVER-STATE-LAW-ENFORCEMEN T-SOURCE-CLAIMS.md	32 658	4E7CB5425AB4BB31A5BA141F7BD69070D7BA7 EC714E41A8AF790E8B63BDA824B	Stated government and law enforcement sources; 20 episodes and their evidentiary limits
S24	research/bez-cenzury/analysis/POST-HANDOVER-FEBRUARY-2021-DEPART URE-KUPRIYANOV-BLACK-SNOW-FLIGHT-PROVENANCE.md	10 457	6D3583FA152BD52E1EF26C89877450923E55A A3BB20FFC483F3078F93E641975	Four evidentiary categories of the February sequence: Natalia's testimony, confirmed archive, unknown and safe publication boundary
S25	research/bez-cenzury/analysis/POST-HANDOVER-AUGUST-2020-OK-THREA T-WORDPRESS-2759-SOURCE-NOTE.md	9 476	4E3CBA6C804D75E355098EC03A07AAC97DFF1 A8E873B75A02C5029EDA0DBAC64	Primary series of threats in OK from 17 August 2020, WordPress publication No. 2759 from 21 August 2020, exact hashes and evidentiary limits

Primary files from receipt 3 October 2026

The table shows the relative paths, sizes and checksums of the saved receipt files from 3 October 2026.

Code	Relative path in the received set	Byte	SHA-256	Usage
E01	i (3).jpg	181 440	D9ECC4E85E645406E0DEF7DC987CD0B808C63D2C549256C7B079E7D99FACB2D9	Response from the prosecutor's office 12 October 2018 regarding Loginova; loss of old materials
E02	Логинава ВИ.pdf	495 613	958205AAF667A458EA5F2242E08A1BF0DB6488EAF61FD49B34FC3B018466981	Redirection of the complaint 21 April 2020
E03	9151_ответ.pdf	2 322 106	08C32656E7831951181395A2E6A3324B7B41114190FACB1E116AE443D4C98E85	Response from the Ministry of Internal Affairs 6 May 2020; ongoing review
E04	ответ Логиновой.pdf	614 665	8A40EE5B820D2373C908D5B5914D8E2C4245BAF1A66967F8A99502530803CC08	Cancellation of the decision on 3 April 2020 and additional verification
E05	2020-12-01 (43).pdf	411 820	CAD12E95495F28565B09055D876E4B5EC37C54AC4A64E066CFC44D9BAFDA0F10	Case No. 3/12-232/2020; complaint challenging the opening of the case
E06	2020-12-01 (43) (1).pdf	411 820	CAD12E95495F28565B09055D876E4B5EC37C54AC4A64E066CFC44D9BAFDA0F10	Byte-by-byte duplicate of E05; not a second source
E07	чурсина.pdf	1 932 244	D54B0F7BD52FE51A5164CAD66D7A0943F5F51DD15C534FB8B73AEA207BA34DBE	Resolution 30 October 2020 by phone
E08	20210917_202127.png	1 445 837	0DA6FFAFDDA33DF54DEA54392E0B294019D8E7E4C502CC2D0258BDD434738B74	Fragment of court material from Karpov / Chursin
E09	новое/image (28).jpeg	170 812	5D35C352061E61745FBD25F40542A951F5342F681A4C5617071130A285AB8387	Rospotrebnadzor's response to school No. 24
E10	новое/заявление 1.jpg	557 636	75C4FE59F37D38008E728F87809A35BA155FA4910585A32FA1BBFAF80FAB7ADA	Page 1 of the MFC collective appeal
E11	новое/заявление 2.jpg	2 142 069	29BD42B328D2DDACB509E0A4865755FBAEAD969CFE519B32BDBDCF3C8C2DE1D8	Page 2; contains signatures and personal data of employees

Composition of the source corpus. Files E01–E11 are retained and inventoried in their original form. Personal and technical fields within them do not expand the evidentiary function of the document.

I.4. Negative Result Limits and Rules

1. OK's archive is incomplete; the absence of a publication in the current set does not prove that it did not exist.
2. Deleted pages, damaged drives and inaccessible applications are noted **LOST / NOT RECOVERED**, if the existence of the material is known, and **CONTRADICTORY / UNKNOWN**, if even this is unknown.
3. A publication proves that a statement was published, not that it was true.
4. An official document confirms the existence of a procedural action and its own text; the retelling of the participants within it does not automatically become an established fact.
5. A link proves a public connection between two specific records; similarity of text without reference requires separate verification of time and origin.
6. A shared account, profile, template, email address or set of links may indicate a connection, but is not enough to identify a person or a single operator.
7. **not found** means the boundary of the current corpus, not **it did not happen**.
8. Natalia's testimony is preserved as the participant's primary experience and does not lose all evidentiary value merely because part of the archive has been lost.

Significant corrections saved in research history

Correction	Accepted formula
Blogger	31 URLs refer to one public profile; they are not considered 31 independent sources or operators.
Telegram / t.me	The 12 remaining href entries refer to 11 unique channel names.
SheglovkaNews	478 href entries; 272 unique URL strings; 271 message ID / HTML file; 211 unique SHA-256.
Telephone	The verified KUSP number is No. 3995 dated 28 October 2020; The number is recorded consistently in all sections of the public version.
School No. 24	Natalia's children did not go to school; the school was located in another area of the city.
Ulyanova / OK	The exact term for Mail.ru is "Group Administrator"; Messenger is considered separately.
Reutov	The result of the court ruling dated 16 October 2020 is known from the materials of the complaint; a separate copy of the act is not identified.
Franc	The claim is granted on one of the eight disputed fragments, not on one of the eight "demands".
Threat August 2020	After the restoration of 27 photographs, the evidentiary status of the threat of public burning was upgraded to VERIFIED PRIMARY ; the identity of the sender remains UNKNOWN .
E08 / case No. 1-482/2019	In V0.4, the file 20210917_202127.png was incorrectly labelled as the case of N. N. Gavrillov. The file relates to the Karpova / Chursina material. The Gavrillov case and the associated unresolved technical row have been removed from the public version.

Version status

Public final English edition.

The evidence base records the state of the research archive on the date on which this edition was completed. The original documents, including unredacted copies, are preserved separately. Any new documents that emerge should be recorded in a separate new edition.